

# VARIOUS SLICING INDICES ON BANACH SPACES

P. HÁJEK\* AND G. LANCIEN

ABSTRACT. We give a short and direct proof for the computation of the Szlenk index of the  $C(K)$  spaces, when  $K$  is a countable compact space and determine their Lavrientiev indices. We also compute the Szlenk index of certain  $C(\alpha)$  spaces, where  $\alpha$  is an uncountable ordinal. Finally, we show that if the Szlenk index of a Banach space is  $\omega$  (first infinite ordinal), then its weak\*-dentability index is at most  $\omega^2$  and that this estimate is optimal.

## 1. INTRODUCTION - NOTATION

We start with the definition of the Szlenk derivation and the Szlenk index that have been first introduced in [23] and used there to show that there is no universal space for the class of separable reflexive Banach spaces. So consider a real Banach space  $X$  and  $K$  a weak\*-compact subset of  $X^*$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  we let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of all relatively weak\*-open subsets  $V$  of  $K$  such that the norm diameter of  $V$  is less than  $\varepsilon$  and  $s_\varepsilon K = K \setminus \cup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . Then we define inductively  $s_\varepsilon^\alpha K$  for any ordinal  $\alpha$  by  $s_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} K = s_\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon^\alpha K)$  and  $s_\varepsilon^\alpha K = \cap_{\beta < \alpha} s_\varepsilon^\beta K$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. We denote by  $B_{X^*}$  the closed unit ball of  $X^*$ . We then define  $\text{Sz}(X, \varepsilon)$  to be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  so that  $s_\varepsilon^\alpha B_{X^*} = \emptyset$ , if such an ordinal exists. Otherwise we write  $\text{Sz}(X, \varepsilon) = \infty$ . The *Szlenk index* of  $X$  is finally defined by  $\text{Sz}(X) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{Sz}(X, \varepsilon)$ .

Note that our definition is in general different from the definition of W. Szlenk, but equivalent to it, as soon as  $X$  is a separable Banach space which does not contain any isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  (see [13]).

We also introduce an alternative *convex Szlenk index*. If  $K$  is weak\*-compact and convex we may define  $c_\varepsilon K = \overline{\text{co}}^* s_\varepsilon K$  (namely, the weak\*-closed convex hull of  $s_\varepsilon K$ ). Then  $\text{Cz}(X, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{Cz}(X)$  are defined as before, using instead the derivation  $c_\varepsilon$ .

Finally, if  $K$  is weak\*-compact and convex, we call weak\*-slice of  $K$  any non empty set of the form  $S = \{x^* \in K, x^*(x) > t\}$ , where  $x \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the set of all weak\*-slices of  $K$  of norm diameter less than  $\varepsilon$  and  $d_\varepsilon K = K \setminus \cup\{S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . From this derivation, we define similarly the *weak\*-dentability indices* of  $X$  that we denote  $\text{Dz}(X, \varepsilon)$  and  $\text{Dz}(X)$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 46B20 (46E15, 46B03).

\* The first author was supported by the grants: Institutional Research Plan AV0Z10190503, A100190502, GA ČR 201/04/0090.

We recall now standard facts about ordinals. For that purpose, we follow the notation of [19]. An ordinal  $\alpha$  is identified with the set of ordinals  $\beta$  such that  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal and  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal. For an ordinal  $\alpha$ , we denote  $\alpha+ = \alpha + 1$ . We always consider that the sets of ordinals are topological spaces equipped with the order topology. Then, for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $C(\alpha+)$  is the space of all real valued continuous functions on  $[0, \alpha]$  equipped with the supremum norm and  $C_0(\alpha) = \{f \in C(\alpha+), f(\alpha) = 0\}$ . Note that for any infinite  $\alpha$ ,  $C(\alpha+)$  is isomorphic to  $C_0(\alpha)$ . Through the natural isometries, we will identify the dual space of  $C(\alpha+)$  to  $\ell_1([0, \alpha])$  and the dual space of  $C_0(\alpha)$  to  $\ell_1([0, \alpha])$ . For  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  countable ordinals, we set  $e_\alpha(\beta) = 1$  if  $\alpha = \beta$  and 0 otherwise.

The isomorphic classification of the spaces  $C(\alpha+)$ , for  $\alpha$  countable, is due to C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński [3]. Subsequently, C. Samuel [20] performed the exact computation of  $Sz(C(\alpha+))$ , for  $\alpha$  countable, which implied that the Szlenk index perfectly determines the isomorphic classes of the separable  $C(\alpha+)$  spaces. It is underlined in the survey paper of H.P. Rosenthal [19] that Samuel's proof is rather involved and relies on a deep result of D.E. Alspach and Y. Benyamini [1], but also contains more information. It is also suggested that one should look for a more direct approach. We propose such a proof in section 3. We also compute the Lavrientiev index of  $C(\alpha+)$ . Finally, we show that the Szlenk index does not distinguish the isomorphic classes of the non separable  $C(\alpha+)$  spaces.

Let us now recall that it follows from the classical theory of Asplund spaces (see for instance [7] and references therein) that for a Banach space  $X$ , each of the following conditions:  $Dz(X) \neq \infty$ ,  $Cz(X) \neq \infty$  and  $Sz(X) \neq \infty$  is equivalent to  $X$  being an Asplund space. In particular, if  $X$  is a separable Banach space, each of the conditions  $Dz(X) < \omega_1$ ,  $Cz(X) < \omega_1$  and  $Sz(X) < \omega_1$  is equivalent to the separability of  $X^*$ . In [15] it is shown, using an approach from descriptive set theory due to B. Bossard (see [5] and [6]), that there is a universal function  $\psi : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ , such that if  $X$  is an Asplund space with  $Sz(X) < \omega_1$ , then  $Dz(X) \leq \psi(Sz(X))$ . In section 4, we look for a concrete expression of  $\psi$  and give its first interesting value, namely  $\psi(\omega) = \omega^2$ . Our argument relies in part on a deep result of Knaust, Odell and Schlumprecht ([12]) on the linear structure of spaces with Szlenk index at most  $\omega$ .

## 2. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF THE SLICING INDICES

Our first proposition can be found in [15], but this phenomenon was first observed for Lavrientiev indices by A. Sersouri in [22].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(B_{X^*}) \neq \emptyset$ , for some ordinal  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $Sz(X) \geq \omega^{\alpha+1}$ . In particular, if  $X$  is an Asplund space, then  $Sz(X) = \omega^\alpha$ , for some ordinal  $\alpha$ .*

Next, we prove the following simple but useful fact.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\alpha$  an ordinal. Assume that*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta(\varepsilon) > 0 \quad s_\varepsilon^\alpha(B_{X^*}) \subset (1 - \delta(\varepsilon))B_{X^*}.$$

*Then*

$$Sz(X) \leq \alpha \cdot \omega$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . An easy homogeneity argument shows that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$s_\varepsilon^{\alpha \cdot n}(B_{X^*}) \subset (1 - \delta(\varepsilon))^n B_{X^*}.$$

Consequently, there exists an integer  $N$  so that  $s_\varepsilon^{\alpha \cdot N}(B_{X^*}) \subset \frac{\varepsilon}{3}B_{X^*}$  and therefore  $s_\varepsilon^{\alpha \cdot N + 1}(B_{X^*}) = \emptyset$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** The analogues of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 are also true for the weak\*-dentability index and the convex Szlenk index.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For any Banach space  $X$ ,*

$$Sz(X \oplus X) = Sz(X).$$

*Proof.* It is clearly enough to show that  $Sz(X \oplus X) \leq Sz(X)$ . We may also assume that  $X \oplus X$  is equipped with the norm  $\|(x, x')\| = \|x\| + \|x'\|$ . First, we show that for any  $A$  and  $B$  weak\*-compact subsets of  $X^*$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad s_\varepsilon(A \times B) \subset (A \times s_\varepsilon(B)) \cup (s_\varepsilon(A) \times B).$$

Indeed, let  $(x^*, y^*) \notin (A \times s_\varepsilon(B)) \cup (s_\varepsilon(A) \times B)$ . We need to prove that  $(x^*, y^*) \notin s_\varepsilon(A \times B)$  and thus may assume that  $(x^*, y^*) \in A \times B$ . Thus there exist  $U$  and  $V$  weak\*-open subsets of  $X^*$  containing respectively  $x^*$  and  $y^*$  such that  $U \cap A$  and  $V \cap B$  have diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . Then  $W = U \times V$  is a weak\*-open subset of  $(X \oplus_1 X)^* = X^* \oplus_\infty X^*$ , containing  $(x^*, y^*)$  and so that the diameter of  $W \cap (A \times B)$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . So  $(x^*, y^*) \notin s_\varepsilon(A \times B)$ .

On the other hand, a straightforward transfinite induction yields that for any  $C$  and  $D$  weak\*-compact subsets of  $X^* \times X^*$

$$(2.2) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \quad s_\varepsilon^\alpha(C \cup D) \subset (s_\varepsilon^\alpha(C) \cup s_\varepsilon^\alpha(D)).$$

The next step is to show by transfinite induction that for any  $A$  and  $B$  weak\*-compact subsets of  $X^*$

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0 \quad s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(A \times B) \subset (A \times s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(B)) \cup (s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(A) \times B).$$

The case  $\alpha = 0$  is given by (2.1). Suppose now that the above statement is true for any  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then it is clearly also true for  $\alpha$ . So let us assume that  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and that the statement is true for  $\beta$ . Then, it follows from an iterated application of (2.2) that

$$(2.4) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\beta \cdot n}(A \times B) \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^n (s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\beta \cdot k}(A) \times s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\beta \cdot (n-k)}(B)).$$

Therefore for any  $(x^*, y^*) \in s_\varepsilon^{\omega^{\beta+1}}(B_{X^*} \times B_{X^*})$ , we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists k(n) \leq n \quad x^* \in s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\beta \cdot k(n)}(B_{X^*}) \text{ and } y^* \in s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\beta \cdot (n-k(n))}(B_{X^*}).$$

If  $(k(n))_n$  is unbounded, then  $x^* \in s_\varepsilon^{\omega^{\beta+1}}(B_{X^*})$ . Otherwise,  $(n - k(n))_n$  is unbounded and  $y^* \in s_\varepsilon^{\omega^{\beta+1}}(B_{X^*})$ . This finishes the inductive proof of (2.3)

Finally, we conclude the proof of Proposition 2.4 by combining (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 □

### 3. A DIRECT COMPUTATION OF $\text{Sz}((C(K))$ FOR $K$ COUNTABLE COMPACT TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

We shall need in this section the following Lemma, which is the easy part of the fundamental classification result of Bessaga and Pełczyński (Lemma 1 of [3]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two ordinals so that  $\omega \leq \alpha < \omega_1$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta < \alpha^\omega$ . Then  $C(\alpha+)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\beta+)$ .*

We will now give a new and direct proof of the following theorem, due to C. Samuel [20].

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any  $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ ,*

$$\text{Sz}(C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)) = \omega^{\alpha+1}.$$

*Proof.* Showing the inequality  $\text{Sz}(C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)) \geq \omega^{\alpha+1}$  is the easy part of the proof. Indeed, using the fact that the set  $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma \leq \beta}$  is 2-separated for the norm of  $\ell_1([0, \beta])$  and  $w^*$ -homeomorphic to  $[0, \beta]$ , we get that for any  $\beta < \omega_1$ ,  $\text{Sz}(C(\omega^\beta+), 1) > \beta$  (see [19] for details). Then Lemma 3.1 implies that for any  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha \cdot n}+)$  and therefore  $\text{Sz}(C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)) > \omega^\alpha \cdot n$ , which yields the desired inequality. Note that Proposition 2.1 also allows to conclude that  $\text{Sz}(C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)) \geq \omega^{\alpha+1}$ .

So we now concentrate on the converse inequality. For a fixed  $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , we denote  $Z = \ell_1([0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}])$  equipped with the weak\*-topology induced by  $C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha})$ . Then, for all  $\gamma < \omega^{\omega^\alpha}$ , we set  $Z_\gamma = \ell_1([0, \gamma])$  equipped with the weak\*-topology induced by  $C(\gamma+)$  and  $P_\gamma$  the canonical projection from  $Z$  onto  $Z_\gamma$ . The following Lemma is the crucial step of our argument (in this statement, the Szlenk derived sets are meant with the weak\*-topologies described above for  $Z$  and  $Z_\gamma$ ).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\gamma < \omega^{\omega^\alpha}$ ,  $\beta < \omega_1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

*If  $z \in s_{3\varepsilon}^\beta(B_Z)$  and  $\|P_\gamma z\| > 1 - \varepsilon$ , then  $P_\gamma z \in s_\varepsilon^\beta(B_{Z_\gamma})$ .*

*Proof.* We will use a transfinite induction on  $\beta$ . The statement is trivially true for  $\beta = 0$ . Assume it is true for any  $\mu < \beta$ . If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, then clearly, it is also true for  $\beta$ . So assume  $\beta = \mu + 1$  and let  $z \in B_Z$  such that  $\|P_\gamma z\| > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $P_\gamma z \notin s_\varepsilon^\beta(B_{Z_\gamma})$ . We need to show that  $z \notin s_{3\varepsilon}^\beta(B_Z)$ , so we may assume that  $z \in s_{3\varepsilon}^\mu(B_Z)$  and therefore that  $P_\gamma z \in s_\varepsilon^\mu(B_{Z_\gamma})$ . Then, there is a weak\*-open subset  $V$  of  $Z_\gamma$  containing  $P_\gamma z$  such that  $d = \text{diam}(V \cap s_\varepsilon^\mu(B_{Z_\gamma})) < \varepsilon$ . We may assume that

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in Z_\gamma, f_i(x) > \alpha_i\},$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_i \in C(\gamma+)$ . Since  $\|P_\gamma z\| > 1 - \varepsilon$ , we may also assume that  $\|f_1\| = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 > 1 - \varepsilon$ , which implies that  $V \cap (1 - \varepsilon)B_{Z_\gamma} = \emptyset$ .

We now define functions  $g_i \in C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha})$  by  $g_i = f_i$  on  $[1, \gamma]$  and  $g_i = 0$  on  $(\gamma, \omega^{\omega^\alpha})$ . Then we consider the weak\*-open subset of  $Z$ :

$$U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{y \in Z, g_i(y) > \alpha_i\}.$$

It is clear that  $z \in U \cap s_{3\varepsilon}^\mu(B_Z)$ . For any  $y \in U \cap s_{3\varepsilon}^\mu(B_Z)$ ,  $P_\gamma y \in V$ , so  $\|P_\gamma y\| > 1 - \varepsilon$  and by the induction hypothesis  $P_\gamma y \in V \cap s_\varepsilon^\mu(B_{Z_\gamma})$ . Therefore for all  $y, y' \in U \cap s_{3\varepsilon}^\mu(B_Z)$ ,  $\|P_\gamma y - P_\gamma y'\| \leq d < \varepsilon$ . Since moreover  $\|P_\gamma y\| > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\|P_\gamma y'\| > 1 - \varepsilon$ , we have that  $\|y - y'\| \leq d + 2\varepsilon < 3\varepsilon$ . This shows that  $z \notin s_{3\varepsilon}^\beta(B_Z)$  and finishes our induction.  $\square$

In order to conclude the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that

$$(3.5) \quad \forall 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1 \quad \forall \gamma < \omega^{\omega^\alpha} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(B_{Z_\gamma}) = \emptyset.$$

This will be done by transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then for any  $\gamma < \omega$ ,  $Z_\gamma$  is finite dimensional and therefore  $s_\varepsilon(B_{Z_\gamma}) = \emptyset$ . So the statement is true for  $\alpha = 0$ . It also passes easily to limit ordinals. So assume now that it is true for  $\alpha$ . Then Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad s_\varepsilon^{\omega^\alpha}(B_Z) \subset \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)B_Z,$$

where  $Z = \ell_1([0, \omega^{\omega^\alpha}])$  is equipped with the weak\*-topology induced by  $C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha})$ . It now follows from (3.6) and Proposition 2.2 that

$$(3.7) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad s_\varepsilon^{\omega^{\alpha+1}}(B_Z) = \emptyset$$

Now, Lemma 3.1 implies that for any  $\omega^{\omega^\alpha} \leq \gamma < \omega^{\omega^{\alpha+1}}$ ,  $C(\gamma+)$  is isomorphic to  $C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)$  and therefore to  $C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha})$ . So  $s_\varepsilon^{\omega^{\alpha+1}}(B_{Z_\gamma}) = \emptyset$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\gamma < \omega^{\omega^{\alpha+1}}$ . This finishes our induction.  $\square$

It should be noted that the isomorphic classes of the separable  $C(\alpha+)$  spaces are also determined by other ordinal indices. For instance, D.E. Alspach, R. Judd and E. Odell studied in [2] the ordinal index  $I(X)$ , introduced by J. Bourgain in [4], which measures the presence of  $\ell_1$  in a separable Banach space  $X$ . Among other thing they proved that

$$\forall 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1 \quad I(C(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}+)) = \omega^{1+\alpha+1}.$$

We will now add a remark on the Lavrientiev index of the  $C(\alpha+)$  spaces. If  $(M, d)$  is a compact metrizable space, the functions of first Baire class from  $M$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  can be classified with the help of different ordinal indices: the separation, oscillation and convergence indices. The separation index was introduced by M. Lavrientiev [17] and a thorough study of these three indices was done by A. Kechris and A. Louveau [11]. We will concentrate on the oscillation index. For  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define the derivation

$$b_{f,\varepsilon}(M) = M \setminus \cup\{V : V \text{ is an open subset of } M \text{ and } \text{diam}(f(V)) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then the oscillation indices of  $f$ ,  $\beta(f, \varepsilon)$  and  $\beta(f) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \beta(f, \varepsilon)$  are defined in the usual way. If  $X$  is a separable Banach space,  $B_{X^*}$  equipped with the weak\*-topology is a compact metrizable space on which we can compute the oscillation index  $\beta(x^{**})$  for any  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ . Then we define the Lavrientiev index of  $X$  by:

$$\beta(X) = \sup_{x^{**} \in X^{**}} \beta(x^{**}).$$

Clearly,  $\beta(X) = 1$  if and only if  $X$  is reflexive. On the other hand  $\beta(X) < \omega_1$  if and only if  $X$  does not contain any isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$  (this is an improvement due to J. Bourgain [4] of the celebrated result of E. Odell and H.P. Rosenthal [18]). We shall now indicate how  $\beta(C(K))$  can be computed. We wish to thank the referee for pointing out an incomplete argument in the first proof of this result.

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any countable compact space  $K$*

$$\beta(C(K)) = \text{Sz}(C(K)).$$

*Proof.* It is clear that for any Banach space  $X$ ,  $\beta(X) \leq \text{Sz}(X)$ . So, in view of Theorem 3.2 and of the version of Proposition 2.1 for the index  $\beta(X)$  due to A. Sersouri [22], it is enough to find  $x^{**} \in C(K)^{**} = \ell_\infty(K)$  such that  $b_{x^{**},1}^{\omega^\alpha}(B_{C(K)^*}) \neq \emptyset$ , whenever  $K$  is a countable compact space whose Cantor

derived set  $K^{(\omega^\alpha)} \neq \emptyset$ . So assume that  $K$  is countable compact and  $K^{(\omega^\alpha)} \neq \emptyset$  and denote by  $L_\alpha$  the set of limit ordinals less than  $\omega^\alpha$ . Then consider

$$x^{**} = \sum_{\beta \in L_\alpha} \sum_{0 \leq k < \omega} (-1)^k \mathbb{1}_{K^{(\beta+k)} \setminus K^{(\beta+k+1)}} \in \ell_\infty(K).$$

Recall that  $e_t \in C(K)^*$  is the evaluation at  $t \in K$  and denote by  $M$  the closed unit ball of  $C(K)^*$  equipped with the weak\*-topology. We will show by transfinite induction that

$$(3.8) \quad \forall \gamma < \omega^\alpha, \quad \{e_t, t \in K^{(\gamma)} \setminus K^{(\gamma+1)}\} \subset b_{x^{**},1}^\gamma(M).$$

The statement is clearly true for  $\gamma = 0$ .

Assume that it is true for  $\gamma$  and consider  $t \in K^{(\gamma+1)} \setminus K^{(\gamma+2)}$ . Since the isolated points of a countable compact space  $F$  are dense in  $F$ , there exists a sequence  $(t_n)$  in  $K^{(\gamma)} \setminus K^{(\gamma+1)}$  converging to  $t$ . This implies that  $(e_{t_n})$  is weak\*-converging to  $e_t$ . But  $x^{**}$  is built in such a way that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|x^{**}(e_{t_n} - e_t)| = 2$ . Since, by induction hypothesis,  $(e_{t_n})$  is included in  $b_{x^{**},1}^\gamma(M)$ , we get that  $e_t \in b_{x^{**},1}^{\gamma+1}(M)$ .

Let now  $\gamma$  be a limit ordinal and assume our statement true for all  $\beta < \gamma$ . Let  $t \in K^{(\gamma)} \setminus K^{(\gamma+1)}$ . We now fix  $\beta < \gamma$ . Using the density of the isolated points of  $K^{(\beta)}$ , we deduce the existence of a sequence  $(t_n)$  in  $K^{(\beta)} \setminus K^{(\beta+1)}$  converging to  $t$ . By our induction hypothesis we obtain that  $(e_{t_n})$  is included in  $b_{x^{**},1}^\beta(M)$ . This later set being weak\*-closed, we have that  $e_t \in b_{x^{**},1}^\beta(M)$ . Since, this is true for any  $\beta < \gamma$ , we finally get that  $e_t \in b_{x^{**},1}^\gamma(M)$ . This finishes our induction.

It follows from (3.8) that for any  $\gamma < \omega^\alpha$ ,  $b_{x^{**},1}^\gamma(M) \neq \emptyset$  and by weak\*-compactness that  $b_{x^{**},1}^{\omega^\alpha}(M) \neq \emptyset$ . □

We conclude this section with a few remarks on the spaces  $C(\alpha+)$ , when  $\alpha$  is a simple uncountable ordinal. First we obtain

**Proposition 3.5.**

$$Sz(C(\omega_1+)) = \omega_1.\omega$$

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $Sz(C(\omega^\alpha+), 1) > \alpha$  and  $C(\omega^\alpha+)$  embeds isometrically in  $C(\omega_1+)$ , so  $Sz(C(\omega_1+), 1) \geq \omega_1$ . Since  $\omega_1$  is a limit ordinal, we actually obtain, using weak\*-compactness, that  $Sz(C(\omega_1+), 1) > \omega_1$ . Then it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $Sz(C(\omega_1+)) \geq \omega_1.\omega$ . On the other hand, the techniques of Lemma 3.3 yield similarly that  $Sz(C(\omega_1+)) \leq \omega_1.\omega$ . □

**Corollary 3.6.** *For any  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1.\omega$*

$$Sz(C(\alpha+)) = \omega_1.\omega$$

*Proof.* For any  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1.\omega$ ,  $C(\omega_1+)$  embeds in  $C(\alpha+)$  and  $C(\alpha+)$  embeds in some finite sum  $C(\omega_1+) \oplus \dots \oplus C(\omega_1+)$ . Then Propositions 2.4 and 3.5 imply that

$$\text{Sz}(C(\omega_1+)) = \omega_1.\omega = \text{Sz}(C(\alpha+)).$$

□

**Remark 3.7.** Z. Semadeni [21] proved that for  $\omega_1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \omega_1.\omega$ ,  $C(\alpha+)$  and  $C(\beta+)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\omega_1.n \leq \alpha < \beta < \omega_1.(n+1)$  for some integer  $n$ . So, unlike in the separable case, the Szlenk index does not distinguish the isomorphic classes for the non separable  $C(\alpha+)$  spaces.

#### 4. COMPARING THE WEAK\*-DENTABILITY INDEX AND THE SZLENK INDEX

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $\text{Sz}(X) \leq \omega$ , then  $\text{Dz}(X) \leq \omega^2$ .*

This estimate is optimal. More precisely

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space which is not superreflexive and such that  $\text{Sz}(X) \leq \omega$ . Then  $\text{Dz}(X) = \omega^2$ . In particular  $\text{Dz}(c_0) = \omega^2$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1, the analogue of Proposition 2.1 for  $\text{Dz}$ , and the following standard fact:  $\text{Dz}(X) \leq \omega$  if and only if  $X$  is superreflexive (see [14] or [10]). □

We shall need the following finite dimensional result.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional normed space,  $D$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$  with non empty interior and  $C$  be a closed bounded convex subset of  $X$  strictly containing  $D$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is a sequence  $(H_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  of open half spaces in  $X$  such that*

$$C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i = D \quad \text{and} \quad \forall k \geq 1 \quad \text{diam}[(C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k H_i) \cap H_{k+1}] < \delta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be the Haar measure on  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $B$  be a closed bounded convex subset of  $X$  such that  $D \subsetneq B$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is an open half space  $H$  in  $X$  satisfying*

$$\overline{H} \cap D = \emptyset, \quad \text{diam}(B \cap \overline{H}) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(H \cap B) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is finite dimensional,  $B$  is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed points (see [8] and references therein). So there exists  $x \in B \setminus D$  which is strongly exposed in  $B$ . Consequently, there is an open half space  $H$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in H$ ,  $\overline{H} \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $\text{diam}(\overline{H} \cap B) < \delta$ . Since  $D$  has non empty interior, so does  $H \cap B$ . □



*End of proof of Proposition 4.3.* We set  $B_0 = C$  and, using Lemma 4.4, we build by induction a sequence  $(B_n)$  such that  $B_{n+1} = B_n \setminus H_{n+1}$ , where  $H_{n+1}$  is an open half space so that

$$(4.9) \quad \overline{H_{n+1}} \cap D = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(\overline{H_{n+1}} \cap B_n) < \delta$$

and also such that  $2\mu(H_{n+1} \cap B_n)$  is greater than the supremum of  $\mu(H \cap B_n)$  over all open half spaces  $H$  satisfying  $\overline{H} \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $\text{diam}(\overline{H} \cap B_n) < \delta$ .

If this process stops after  $n$  steps, then  $C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i = D$ , and it is enough to set  $H_i = H_n$  for all  $n \geq i$  to get the desired conclusion. So let us assume that this process does not end. Let  $B = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$ . We only need to show that  $B = D$ . If not, then Lemma 4.4 insures the existence of an open half space  $H$  so that

$$(4.10) \quad \overline{H} \cap D = \emptyset, \quad \text{diam}(B \cap \overline{H}) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(H \cap B) > 0.$$

By compactness, we get that for  $n$  large enough,  $\text{diam}(B_n \cap \overline{H}) < \delta$ .

Besides,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(H_{n+1} \cap B_n) < \infty$ . Therefore, for  $n$  big enough,

$$2\mu(H_{n+1} \cap B_n) < \mu(H \cap B) \leq \mu(H \cap B_n),$$

which is in contradiction with the way the sequence  $(H_n)$  was constructed. So  $B = D$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Since the conditions  $\text{Sz}(X) \leq \omega$  and  $\text{Dz}(X) \leq \omega^2$  are separably determined (see [15]), we may assume that  $X$  is separable. Then we can use a fundamental result of H. Knaust, E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht [12], which asserts that there is a dual Banach space  $Z = Y^*$ , so that  $X^*$  embeds for the norm and weak\* topologies into  $Y^*$  and such that  $Y^*$  admits a boundedly complete finite dimensional decomposition  $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying the following estimate, for some  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ : for every block basic sequence  $(z_j)_{j=1}^J$ , with respect to the finite dimensional decomposition  $(F_n)$

$$(4.11) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J z_j \right\|^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^J \|z_j\|^p.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that  $\text{Dz}(Y) \leq \omega^2$ .

We denote by  $(E_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , the shrinking finite dimensional decomposition of  $Y$ , whose dual decomposition is  $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $Z_N = F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_N$  and  $P_N$  the projection from  $X$  onto  $Z_N$  whose kernel is  $\bigoplus_{n=N+1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Let now  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $(H_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the family of open half spaces given by Proposition 4.3, for  $X = Z_N$ ,  $C = B_{Z_N}$ ,  $D = (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{Z_N}$  and some  $\delta$  in  $(0, \varepsilon)$ . We denote as before,  $B_0 = C$  and  $B_k = C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k H_i$ , for  $k \geq 1$ . Then we have the following analogue of Lemma 3.3

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $z \in d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)$  and  $\|P_N z\|^p > 1 - \varepsilon^p$ , then  $P_N z \in B_k$ .*

*Proof.* The proof will be done by induction on  $k$ . The statement is clearly true for  $k = 0$ , so assume it is satisfied for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $z \in B_Z$  such that  $\|P_N z\|^p > 1 - \varepsilon^p$  and  $P_N z \notin B_{k+1}$ . We need to show that  $z \notin d_{3\varepsilon}^{k+1}(B_Z)$ . So we may assume that  $z \in d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)$  and therefore, by induction hypothesis, that  $P_N z \in B_k$ . Hence, by the proof of Proposition 4.3:

$$(4.12) \quad P_N z \in H_{k+1} \cap B_k, \quad \overline{H_{k+1}} \cap (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_{Z_N} = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(\overline{H_{k+1}} \cap B_k) < \delta$$

The set  $H_{k+1}$  can be written  $H_{k+1} = \{x \in Z_N, f(x) > \alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in Z_N^*$ . We can write  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$  in the decomposition  $(E_1, \dots, E_N)$  of  $Z_N^* = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_N$ . Now we define  $g = (f_1, \dots, f_N, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$  in the decomposition  $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $Y$  and  $G_{k+1} = \{x \in Z, g(x) > \alpha\}$ . Then  $z \in G_{k+1} \cap d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in G_{k+1} \cap d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)$ ,  $P_N x \in H_{k+1}$ , so  $\|P_N x\|^p > 1 - \varepsilon^p$  and it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $P_N x \in B_k$ . Thus, for all  $x, x' \in G_{k+1} \cap d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)$ ,  $\|P_N x - P_N x'\| \leq \delta$ . On the other hand,  $\|P_N x\|^p > 1 - \varepsilon^p$  and  $\|P_N x'\|^p > 1 - \varepsilon^p$ . So it follows from (4.11) that  $\|x - P_N x\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|x' - P_N x'\| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\text{diam}(G_{k+1} \cap d_{3\varepsilon}^k(B_Z)) \leq \delta + 2\varepsilon < 3\varepsilon$  and  $z \notin d_{3\varepsilon}^{k+1}(B_Z)$ . □

*End of proof of Theorem 4.1.* It follows now from Lemma 4.5 that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad d_\varepsilon^\omega(B_Z) \subset (1 - (\frac{\varepsilon}{3})^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} B_Z.$$

Finally, the analogue, for the weak\*-dentability index, of Proposition 2.2 yields that  $\text{Dz}(Y) \leq \omega^2$ . □

**Remark 4.6.** If we denote, for a countable ordinal  $\alpha$ :

$$\psi(\alpha) = \sup_{\text{Sz}(X) \leq \alpha} \text{Dz}(X).$$

It is clear that  $\psi(1) = \omega$  and we just showed that  $\psi(\omega) = \omega^2$ .

The values of  $\psi(\omega^\alpha)$ , for  $\alpha \geq 2$  are not known. However, there is an uncountable set  $S \subset [1, \omega_1)$  such that  $\psi$  is the identity on  $S$ . The proof of this fact, which relies on the so-called ‘‘pressing down Lemma’’ can be found in [16].

We finish this section by explaining how a general comparison of  $\text{Cz}(X)$  and  $\text{Dz}(X)$  is given by a recent work of F. Garcıa, L. Oncina, J. Orihuela and S. Troyanski [9]. First recall that for a bounded subset  $B$  of a metric space  $X$ , the Kuratowski index of non compactness of  $B$ , denoted by  $\alpha(B)$  is defined to be the infimum of all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B$  can be covered by a finite union of balls of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . Now, for a Banach space  $X$ , we define a new derivation as follows: if  $K$  is a weak\*-compact and convex subset of  $X^*$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set  $\mathcal{T}$  the set of all weak\*-slices  $S$  of  $K$  so that  $\alpha(S) < \varepsilon$ . Then

$k_\varepsilon K = K \setminus \cup\{S : S \in \mathcal{T}\}$ . Finally, using this derivation, we define in the usual way the indices  $Kz(X, \varepsilon)$  and  $Kz(X)$  that we call *weak\*-Kuratowski index* of  $X$ . The following result is due to F. García, L. Oncina, J. Orihuela and S. Troyanski [9] (see Proposition 7 and the details of its proof).

**Theorem 4.7.** *For any Banach space  $X$ ,*

$$Dz(X) \leq \omega^\omega \cdot Kz(X).$$

Then we have

**Proposition 4.8.** *For any Banach space  $X$ :*

$$Cz(X) = Kz(X) \text{ and therefore } Dz(X) \leq \omega^\omega \cdot Cz(X).$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any convex weak\*-compact subset  $K$  of  $X^*$ :

$$c_{4\varepsilon}(K) \subset k_{2\varepsilon}(K) \subset c_\varepsilon(K).$$

Let  $x^* \in K \setminus c_\varepsilon(K)$ . Since  $c_\varepsilon(K)$  is convex and weak\*-closed, the Hahn-Banach theorem insures the existence of a weak\*-slice  $S$  of  $K$  such that  $x^* \in S$  and  $\overline{S}^* \cap c_\varepsilon(K) = \emptyset$ . Now, for any  $y^* \in \overline{S}^*$ ,  $y^* \in K \setminus c_\varepsilon(K) \subset K \setminus s_\varepsilon(K)$  and therefore, we can pick a weak\*-neighborhood  $V_{y^*}$  of  $y^*$  such that the norm diameter of  $V_{y^*} \cap K$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $\overline{S}^*$  is weak\*-compact, it can be covered by a finite collection  $V_{y_1^*}, \dots, V_{y_n^*}$  and therefore by a finite family of balls of diameter less than  $2\varepsilon$ . Thus  $x^* \in K \setminus k_{2\varepsilon}(K)$ , and the second inclusion is proved.

Let  $x^* \in K \setminus k_{2\varepsilon}(K)$ . There exist a weak\*-open slice  $S$  of  $K$  and closed balls  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  of  $X^*$  with diameter less than  $2\varepsilon$  such that  $x^* \in S$  and  $S \subset \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . For  $y^* \in S$ , we set  $I = \{i, y^* \in B_i\}$ . Then  $S \setminus \cup_{i \notin I} B_i$  is a weak\*-open subset of  $K$  containing  $y^*$  and included in  $\cup_{i \in I} B_i$ . Since  $y^*$  belongs to all  $B_i$  for  $i \in I$ , the diameter of  $\cup_{i \in I} B_i$  is at most  $4\varepsilon$ . Thus  $y^* \notin s_{4\varepsilon}(K)$  and  $s_{4\varepsilon}(K) \subset K \setminus S$ . Since  $K \setminus S$  is convex and weak\*-closed, we also have  $c_{4\varepsilon}(K) \subset K \setminus S$ , and therefore  $x^* \in K \setminus c_{4\varepsilon}(K)$ , which ends the proof of the first inclusion. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.E. Alspach and Y. Benyamini,  $C(K)$  quotients of separable  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  spaces, Israel J. of Math., **32** (1979), 145-160.
- [2] D.E. Alspach, R. Judd and E. Odell, The Szlenk index and local  $\ell_1$ -indices, Positivity, **9** (2005).
- [3] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, Spaces of continuous functions (IV) (on isomorphical classification of spaces of continuous functions), Studia Math., **19** (1960), 53-62.
- [4] J. Bourgain, On convergent sequences of continuous functions, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., **32** (1980), 235-249.

- [5] B. Bossard, Codage des espaces de Banach séparables. Familles analytiques ou coanalytiques d'espaces de Banach, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **316** (1993), 1005-1010.
- [6] B. Bossard, Thèse, Université Paris VI (1994).
- [7] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces, Pitman Monographs and Surveys **64**, Longman Ed (1993).
- [8] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, Functional analysis and infinite dimensional geometry, Canadian Math. Soc. Books, Springer Verlag, (2001).
- [9] F. García, L. Oncina, J. Orihuela and S. Troyanski, Kuratowski's index of non compactness and renorming in Banach spaces, J. Convex Anal., **11** (2004), 2, 477-494.
- [10] G. Godefroy, Renormings of Banach spaces, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach spaces Vol. 1, W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss editors, Elsevier Amsterdam (2001), 781-835.
- [11] A. Kechris and A. Louveau, A classification of Baire class 1 functions, Trans. of the Am. Math. Soc., **318** (1990), no 1, 209-236.
- [12] H. Knaust, E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht, On asymptotic structure, the Szlenk index and UKK properties in Banach spaces, Positivity, **3** (1999), 173-199.
- [13] G. Lancien, Dentability indices and locally uniformly convex renormings, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **23** (Spring 1993), 2, 635-647.
- [14] G. Lancien, On uniformly convex and uniformly Kadec-Klee renormings, Serdica Math. J., **21** (1995), 1-18.
- [15] G. Lancien, On the Szlenk index and the weak\*-dentability index, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), **47** (1996), 59-71.
- [16] G. Lancien, A survey on the Szlenk index and some of its applications, to appear in Revista Real Acad. Ciencias.
- [17] M. Lavrientiev, Sur les sous-classes de la classification de Baire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **180** 1925, 111-114.
- [18] E. Odell and H.P. Rosenthal, A double dual characterization of separable Banach spaces containing  $\ell_1$ , Israel J. of Math., **20** 1975, no 3-4, 375-384.
- [19] H.P. Rosenthal, The Banach spaces  $C(K)$ , Handbook of the Geometry of Banach spaces Vol. 2, W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss editors, Elsevier Amsterdam (2003), 1547-1602.
- [20] C. Samuel, Indice de Szlenk des  $C(K)$ , Séminaire de Géométrie des espaces de Banach, Vol. I-II, Publications Mathématiques de l'Université Paris VII, Paris (1983), 81-91.
- [21] Z. Semadeni, Banach spaces non isomorphic to their cartesian squares II, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série Math. Astron. et Phys., **8** (1960), 81-84.
- [22] A. Sersouri, Lavrientiev index for Banach spaces, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I Math., **309** (1989), 2, 95-99.
- [23] W. Szlenk, The non existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces, Studia Math., **30** (1968), 53-61.

CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, AS CR, ŽITNÁ, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC.

*E-mail address:* hajek@math.cas.cz

UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, EQUIPE DE MATHÉMATIQUES UMR 6623, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* glancien@math.univ-fcomte.fr