

## DISTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONS IN ABSTRACT $H^1$

FLORENCE LANCIEN

### I. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a *weak\*-Dirichlet algebra* i.e. a subalgebra  $A$  of  $L^\infty(\mu)$  where  $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a probability space such that:  $\mu$  is multiplicative on  $A$ ,  $A$  contains the constants and  $A + \bar{A}$  is weak\*-dense in  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .

The *abstract Hardy spaces* are defined by the following:

$\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  
 $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$  is the weak\*-closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty(\mu)$ .

We also denote by  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{M})$  the set of functions in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu = 0$  and by  $\text{Re } \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{M})$  the set of real parts of functions in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{M})$ .

These algebras were introduced in [SW], where it was proven that the corresponding abstract Hardy spaces enjoy most of the measure theoretic properties of the original Hardy spaces. Then in [HR] the conjugate function was studied for these weak\*-Dirichlet algebras. The conjugation operator is defined for  $1 < p < \infty$  by

$$\mathcal{H}: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$$
$$f \mapsto \tilde{f} \text{ such that } f + i\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{M}) \text{ and } \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{f} d\mu = 0.$$

This operator is bounded on  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . For  $p = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is only bounded from  $L^1(\mu)$  into  $L^{1,\infty}(\mu)$ . So a natural question is to characterize the functions in  $L^1(\mu)$  for which  $\tilde{f}$  is in  $L^1(\mu)$ . This is the problem we will investigate here. Note that if  $f \geq 0$ , Zygmund's theorem (which holds for weak\*-Dirichlet algebras, see [HR]) asserts that the condition for  $\tilde{f}$  to be in  $L^1(\mu)$  is that  $f$  is in  $L \log_+ L$  (i.e.,  $\int_{\mathcal{M}} |f| \log_+(|f|) d\mu < \infty$ ).

We will first recall the solution of the problem for the classical Hardy spaces. It was solved on  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by B. Davis [Da], here is his result for  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $f$  a real valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $f_\delta$  be the signed decreasing function (i.e., non-positive and not increasing on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , non-negative and not increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ ) which has the same distribution as  $f$  and let  $M(t) = \int_{-t}^t f_\delta(u) du$ .

---

Received November 2, 1992

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46J10; Secondary 43A17.

© 1995 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

**THEOREM [Da].** *A real valued function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  has a rearrangement in  $\text{Re } H_0^1(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx < \infty.$$

Davis’s original proof of these results uses probabilistic methods, N. Kalton gives a non probabilistic proof for  $\mathbf{T}$  in [Ka] (Theorem 6.3). His proof is based on a study of the symmetrized Hardy class  $H_{\text{sym}}^1(\mathbf{T})$ , indeed he shows a characterization of functions in  $H_0^1(\mathbf{T})$ , which by an equivalence of norms on  $H_{\text{sym}}^2(\mathbf{T})$  is equivalent to Davis’ condition. We will use the same ideas here. Let’s also mention that in [Ka2], N. Kalton gives another proof of Davis’ Theorem which is valid for vector-valued  $H_1$ -functions.

**II. The abstract Hardy space case**

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Polish space with a non-atomic probability measure  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{M})$  be an abstract Hardy space defined from a weak\*-Dirichlet algebra  $A$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For  $f$  a real valued function in  $L^1(\mu)$ , let  $f_\delta$  be the signed decreasing function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  which has the same distribution as  $f$  and let  $M(t) = \int_{-t}^t f_\delta(u) du$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f$  belongs to  $\text{Re } \mathcal{H}_0^1(\mu)$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|M(t)|}{t} dt < \infty. \tag{*}$$

We need the following analog of Kalton’s characterization of functions in  $H^1(\mathbf{T})$  (Lemma 7.2. in [Ka]).

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{M})$  then*

$$\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{L}_1^b} \left| \int f \phi(\log|f|) d\mu \right| \leq C \|f\|_1, \tag{**}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_1^b$  is the set of all bounded, 1-Lipschitz functions  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

The proof of this result for  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{T}$  in [Ka] uses the analyticity of functions in  $H^1(\mathbf{T})$ , with an argument of plurisubharmonicity. In our abstract setting, we will substitute the following subharmonicity lemma which generalizes Jensen’s inequality.

LEMMA 3. *If  $s: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is subharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}$  then for any  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} s(f(x)) d\mu(x) \geq s\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) d\mu(x)\right).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* To prove this lemma, we will use the Riesz decomposition of a subharmonic function [Ri]. This fact can also be found as an exercise in [Ga, p. 49].

*Fact.* Let  $s$  be a subharmonic function on a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z \in \Omega; \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}.$$

Then

$$s(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log|z - w| d\Delta s(w) + h_\varepsilon(z)$$

where  $\Delta s$  is the positive Borel measure corresponding to the weak Laplacian of  $s$  and  $h_\varepsilon$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$ .

Now let  $f$  be in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$  and  $M = \|f\|_\infty$ . We take  $\Omega = \{|z| < M + 1\}$  and for some  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  we decompose:

$$s(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log|z - w| d\Delta s(w) + h_\varepsilon(z). \tag{1}$$

Let  $\Phi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} s(f(x)) d\mu(x)$ , then

$$\Phi(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log|f(x) - w| d\Delta s(w) d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\varepsilon(f(x)) d\mu(x). \tag{2}$$

We will now estimate each part of (2).

For the second part, since  $h_\varepsilon$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$  and  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$  with range included in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ , it is classical by the analytic functional calculus, because of the multiplicity of the measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ , that we have

$$\Phi_2(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\varepsilon(f(x)) d\mu(x) = h_\varepsilon\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) d\mu(x)\right). \tag{3}$$

For the first part, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(f) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log|f(x) - w| d\Delta s(w) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \log|f(x) - w| d\mu(x) d\Delta s(w). \end{aligned}$$

We now use Jensen’s inequality (this very classical fact in the theory of Hardy spaces on  $\mathbf{T}$  holds in the frame of weak\*-Dirichlet algebras, see [SW]):

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \log|f| d\mu \geq \log \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \right| \quad \text{for } f \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{M}).$$

This gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \log|f(x) - w| d\mu(x) \geq \log \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} (f(x) - w) d\mu(x) \right| = \log \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu - w \right|.$$

So

$$\Phi_1(f) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu - w \right| d\Delta s(w). \tag{4}$$

Combining (2), (3) and (4) we get

$$\Phi(f) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \log \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu - w \right| d\Delta s(x) + h_\varepsilon \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu \right).$$

Now, the right hand side is exactly  $s(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu)$  decomposed as in (1), which proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 7.2 from [Ka]. We sketch it here to show the use of Lemma 3, for more details we refer to [Ka].

We first consider  $f \in H_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_b^1$ . We want to prove that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} f \phi(\log|f|) d\mu \right| \leq C \|f\|_1. \tag{5}$$

In fact we will prove it for a function  $\psi$  such that:

(6)  $|\phi(t) - \psi(t)| < C'$ ,

(7)  $s(z) = \lambda|z| - (\operatorname{Re} z)\psi(\log|z|)$ ,  $s(0) = 0$  is subharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

The construction of such a function  $\psi$  is the same as in [Ka] and is omitted. Now since  $s$  is subharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , by the generalized Jensen's inequality (Lemma 3), we obtain

$$\Phi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} s(f(x)) d\mu(x) \geq s\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f d\mu\right) = s(0) = 0;$$

i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f\psi(\log|f|) d\mu\right) \leq \lambda \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f| d\mu.$$

Multiplying  $f$  by a constant of modulus 1 gives

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{M}} f\psi(\log|f|) d\mu\right| \leq C\|f\|_1;$$

then by (6) the same inequality holds with  $\phi$  instead of  $\psi$ , which gives (5).

Now for  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1$ , we take  $(f_n)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0^\infty$  such that  $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Once Proposition 2 is proven, Theorem 1 follows from Kalton's results about the symmetrized Hardy class, which are valid in our setting since in [Ka],  $H_{\text{sym}}^1(\mathcal{M})$  was defined for a Polish space  $\mathcal{M}$  with a non atomic probability measure  $\mu$ . In fact Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 7.1 in [Ka] give exactly the equivalence of (\*) and (\*\*).  $\square$

### III. Examples

**III.1. Algebras of "analytic" functions on groups with ordered dual.** Let  $G$  be a compact abelian group,  $\mu$  its Haar measure,  $\Gamma$  its dual with  $P$  a total order on  $\Gamma$ . The algebra of analytic-type functions on  $G$  is

$$A = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(G), \hat{f}(\xi) = 0, \text{ for } \xi \notin P\},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transformation of  $f$ . Then the measure  $\mu$  is uniquely representing a multiplicative linear functional on  $A$  (see [Ru]). In particular  $A$  is a weak\*-Dirichlet algebra in  $L^\infty(G, \mu)$ (see [SW]).

So Theorem 1 holds for  $\mathcal{H}^1(G) = \mathcal{H}^1(G, \mu, A)$ , the closure of  $A$  in  $L^1(G, \mu)$ . An example of this is the "big disc algebra" on  $\mathbf{T}^n: G = \mathbf{T}^n, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$  with a total order on it. Another interesting example is  $G = \mathbf{T}^\mathbb{N}$  the infinite dimensional torus, and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  with the lexicographic order. This corresponds to the frame of Hardy martingales (see [Gar]).

**III.2. Case of the ergodic Hardy spaces.** Another type of weak\*-Dirichlet algebra is considered in [We]. We suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a probability space with  $(U_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  an ergodic flow acting on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The ergodic Hilbert transform is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < 1/\varepsilon} \frac{f(U_t x)}{\pi t} dt.$$

The ergodic Hardy spaces are defined in the following way:  $H_e^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is the subspace of  $L^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  consisting of functions of the form  $f + i\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon f$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , and  $H_e^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is the closure in  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  of  $H_e^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu) \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ . In [We], it was proven that  $H_e^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a weak\*-Dirichlet algebra.

So Theorem 1 applies for the ergodic Hardy space  $H_e^1(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ ,

*Acknowledgements.* I wish to thank G. Godefroy and N. Kalton for many fruitful discussions and the University of Missouri-Columbia, where this work was completed. I also would like to thank the referee for many valuable suggestions about the redaction of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [Da] B. DAVIS, *Hardy spaces and rearrangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **261** (1980), 211–233.
- [Ga] J. GARNETT, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1981.
- [Gar] D.J.H. GARLING, *On martingales with values in a complex Banach space*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **104**, (1988), 399–406.
- [HR] I.I. HIRSCHMAN and R. ROCHBERG, *Conjugate function theory in weak\* Dirichlet algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **16** (1974), 359–371.
- [Ka] N. KALTON, *Nonlinear commutators in interpolation theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. no. 385, 1988.
- [Ka2] ———, *On rearrangements of vector-valued  $H_1$ -functions*, Ark. Mat. **26** (1988), 221–229.
- [Ri] F. RIESZ, *Sur les fonctions sous-harmoniques et leur rapport à la théorie du potentiel I*, Acta Math. **48**, (1926), 329–343.
- [Ru] W. RUDIN, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Tracts in mathematics, No 12, Interscience New York, 1967.
- [SW] T.P. SRINIVASAN and J.K. WANG, *Weak\*-Dirichlet algebras*, Proc. of an International Symposium held at Tulane University, F.T. Birtel ed., 1965, pp. 216–249.
- [We] G. WEISS, *Weak\*-Dirichlet algebras induced by the ergodic Hilbert transform*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 336, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973, pp. 20–27.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS  
PARIS