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#### Abstract

We answer a question of Aharoni by showing that every separable metric space can be Lipschitz 2 -embedded into $c_{0}$ and this result is sharp; this improves earlier estimates of Aharoni, Assouad and Pelant. We use our methods to examine the best constant for Lipschitz embeddings of the classical $\ell_{p}$-spaces into $c_{0}$ and give other applications. We prove that if a Banach space embeds almost isometrically into $c_{0}$, then it embeds linearly almost isometrically into $c_{0}$. We also study Lipschitz embeddings into $c_{0}^{+}$.


## 1. Introduction

In 1974, Aharoni [1] proved that every separable metric space $(M, d)$ is Lipschitz isomorphic to a subset of the Banach space $c_{0}$. Thus, for some constant $K$, there is a map $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}$ which satisfies the inequality

$$
d(x, y) \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq K d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M
$$

Aharoni proved this result with $K=6+\epsilon$ where $\epsilon>0$, so that every separable metric space $(6+\epsilon)$-embeds into $c_{0}$. He also noted that if one takes $M$ to be the Banach space $\ell_{1}$ one cannot have $K<2$. In fact the map defined by Aharoni took values in the positive cone $c_{0}^{+}$of $c_{0}$. Later Assouad [3] refined Aharoni's result by showing that every separable metric space $(3+\epsilon)$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$(see [6] p. 176ff). A further improvement was obtained by Pelant in 1994 [16] who showed that every separable metric space 3 -embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$and that this result is sharp in the sense that $\ell_{1}$ cannot be $\lambda$-embedded into $c_{0}^{+}$with $\lambda<3$ (see also [2] for the lower bound).

These results leave open the question of the best constant for Lipschitz embeddings into $c_{0}$. Note that $c_{0}$ can only be 2 -embedded into $c_{0}^{+}$. The main result of this paper is that every separable metric space 2 -embeds into $c_{0}$ and this is sharp by Aharoni's remark above. To prove this result, for $1<\lambda \leq 2$ we establish a criterion $\Pi(\lambda)$ which is sufficient to imply that a separable metric space $\lambda$-embeds into $c_{0}$ (and the converse statement is almost true). This criterion enables us to establish sharp results concerning the embedding of $\ell_{p}$-spaces into $c_{0}$ : thus $\ell_{p} 2^{1 / p}$-embeds into $c_{0}$ if $1 \leq p<\infty$ and the constant is best possible. Using a previous work of the first author
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and D. Werner [12], we also show that a Banach space which embeds almost isometrically into $c_{0}$ embeds linearly almost isometrically into $c_{0}$.

The same techniques can be applied to embeddings into $c_{0}^{+}$. Here we show that $\ell_{p}\left(2^{p}+1\right)^{1 / p}$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$and $\ell_{p}^{+} 3^{1 / p}$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$and in each case the result is best possible.

We conclude the paper by proving that every separable ultrametric space embeds isometrically into $c_{0}^{+}$and the infinite branching tree embeds isometrically into $c_{0}$.

## 2. Lipschitz embeddings into $c_{0}$

Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space and let $A$ and $B$ be non-empty subsets of M.

We define

$$
\delta(A, B)=\inf _{a \in A, b \in B} d(a, b)
$$

and

$$
D(A, B)=\sup _{a \in A, b \in B} d(a, b) .
$$

In this paper all metric balls are closed with strictly positive radii.
If $f:\left(M_{1}, d_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ is a Lipschitz map between metric spaces we write $\operatorname{Lip}(f)$ for the Lipschitz constant of $f$, i.e. the least constant $K$ such that $d_{2}(f(x), f(y)) \leq K d_{1}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in M_{1}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space and suppose that $A, B$ and $C$ are non-empty subsets of $M$. Then for $\epsilon>0$, there exists a Lipschitz function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ such that
(i) $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon \quad x \in C$
and
(ii) $|f(x)-f(y)|=\theta=\min (\delta(A, B), \delta(A, C)+\delta(B, C)+2 \epsilon) \quad x \in A, y \in B$.

Proof. Let us augment $M$ by adding an extra point 0 ; let $M^{*}=M \cup\{0\}$. We define:

$$
d^{*}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\min (d(x, y), d(x, C)+d(y, C)+2 \epsilon) & x, y \in M \\ d(x, C)+\epsilon \quad x \in M, y=0 \\ d(y, C)+\epsilon \quad x=0, y \in M \\ 0 \quad x=y=0\end{cases}
$$

One can easily check that $d^{*}$ is a metric on $M^{*}$. We can pick $s, t$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$
-(\delta(B, C)+\epsilon) \leq s \leq 0 \leq t \leq \delta(A, C)+\epsilon \text { and } t-s=\theta
$$

Then we define $g: A \cup B \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g=t$ on $\mathrm{A}, g=s$ on $B$ and $g(0)=0$. This function is 1-Lipschitz for $d^{*}$ and can be extended into a

1-Lipschitz function $f^{*}$ on $\left(M^{*}, d^{*}\right)$. Let $f$ be the restriction of $f^{*}$ to $M$. Then $f$ satisfies the conditions of the Lemma.

For $\lambda>1$, we say that a metric space $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi(\lambda)$ if given any $\mu>\lambda$ there exists $\nu>\mu$ such that if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two metric balls of radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$ respectively then there are finitely many sets $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ such that:

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \quad 1 \leq j \leq N
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)
$$

In this definition the sets $U_{j}, V_{j}$ are allowed to be repeated. It is clearly possible, without loss of generality, to assume they are closed. We can also (altering the value of $\nu$ ) assume that they are open.

Lemma 2.2. Every metric space has property $\Pi(2)$.
Proof. For $\mu>2$, let

$$
U=B_{1} \cap\left\{x: \exists y \in B_{2}, d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
V=B_{2} \cap\left\{y: \exists x \in B_{1}, d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \subset U \times V
$$

Suppose $x \in U, y \in V$. Let us assume, without loss of generality, that $r_{1} \leq r_{2}$. Then there exists $x^{\prime} \in U$ with $d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)-d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \geq \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)-2 r_{1} \geq(\mu-1)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore we can take $\nu=2 \mu-2>\mu$.
We say that a metric space is locally compact (respectively, locally finite) if all its metric balls are relatively compact (respectively, finite). Note that we do not use the terminology "locally compact" in the usual way. The metric spaces with relatively compact metric balls are often called proper metric spaces.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\lambda>1$. Then every locally compact metric space has property $\Pi(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\mu>\lambda>1$ and $B_{1}, B_{2}$ be two balls of a locally compact metric space $(M, d)$, with respective radii $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. Pick $\nu$ such that $\mu<\nu<\lambda \mu$. We denote $\Delta=\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\}$. Let $\epsilon>0$. Since
$M$ is locally compact, there are finitely many points $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ in $\Delta$ such that

$$
\Delta \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right), \text { where } U_{j}=B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right) \text { and } V_{j}=B\left(y_{j}, \epsilon\right)
$$

Then, for all $1 \leq j \leq N, \lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right)>\lambda \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)-2 \lambda \epsilon>\nu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$, if $\epsilon$ was chosen small enough, namely $\epsilon<(2 \lambda)^{-1}(\lambda \mu-\nu)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\lambda_{0} \geq 1$. If a metric space ( $M, d$ ) $\lambda_{0}$-embeds into $c_{0}$ then it has property $\Pi(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mu>\lambda$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}$ be metric balls of radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and centers $a_{1}, a_{2}$. Let $\Delta=\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\}$. Let $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}$ be an embedding such that

$$
d(x, y) \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda_{0} d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M
$$

Suppose $f(x)=\left(f_{i}(x)\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Then there exists $n$ so that

$$
\left|f_{i}\left(a_{1}\right)-f_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)\right|<(\mu-\lambda)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \quad i \geq n+1
$$

Thus if $(x, y) \in \Delta$ we have

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}(y)\right|<(\mu-\lambda)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)+\lambda_{0} r_{1}+\lambda_{0} r_{2}<d(x, y), \quad i \geq n+1
$$

Hence

$$
d(x, y) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}(y)\right| \quad(x, y) \in \Delta .
$$

Choose $\epsilon>0$ so that $\lambda(\mu-\epsilon)>\lambda_{0} \mu$. By a compactness argument we can find coverings $\left(W_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{m}$ of $B_{1}$ and $\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{k=1}^{m^{\prime}}$ of $B_{2}$ such that we have

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \quad x, x^{\prime} \in W_{k}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \quad x, x^{\prime} \in W_{k}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m^{\prime}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m^{\prime}: W_{k} \times W_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

and then we define $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ in such a way that $\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ is an enumeration of $\left(W_{k} \times W_{k^{\prime}}\right)_{\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{S}}$. Clearly $\Delta \subset \cup_{j=1}^{N} U_{j} \times V_{j}$. Now suppose $x \in U_{j}, y \in V_{j}$. Then there exist $x^{\prime} \in U_{j}, y^{\prime} \in V_{j}$ so that $d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)>$ $\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$. Thus there exists $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ so that $\left|f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{i}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$. However

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}(y)\right| \geq\left|f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{i}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|-\epsilon\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)>(\mu-\epsilon)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \frac{(\mu-\epsilon)}{\lambda_{0}}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

Thus we can take $\nu=\lambda \lambda_{0}^{-1}(\mu-\epsilon)>\mu$.

We next observe that the definition of $\Pi(\lambda)$ implies a formally stronger conclusion.

Lemma 2.5. Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space with property $\Pi(\lambda)$. Then for every $\mu>\lambda$ there is a constant $\nu>\mu$ so that if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two metric balls of radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$ respectively then there are finitely many sets $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ such that if $(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}$ and $d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$ then there exists $1 \leq j \leq N$ so that $x \in U_{j}, y \in V_{j}$ and:

$$
\lambda \mu \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu d(x, y)
$$

Proof. By the definition of $\Pi(\lambda)$ there exists $\nu^{\prime}>\lambda$ so that if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two metric balls of radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$ respectively then there are finitely many sets $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ such that:

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu^{\prime}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \quad 1 \leq j \leq N
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)
$$

Suppose $\mu<\nu<\nu^{\prime}$ and let $\epsilon>0$ be chosen so that $(1+\epsilon) \nu=\nu^{\prime}$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}$ be a pair of metric balls of radii $r_{1}, r_{2}>0$. Let $D=D\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ and let $m$ be the greatest integer such that $(1+\epsilon)^{m} \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \leq D$. We define $B_{1}^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$ to be the ball with the same center as $B_{1}$ and radius $(1+\epsilon)^{k} r_{1}$; similarly $B_{2}^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$ is the ball with the same center as $B_{2}$ and radius $(1+\epsilon)^{k} r_{2}$. For each $0 \leq k \leq m$ we may determine sets $U_{k l}, V_{k l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq N_{k}$ so that

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{k l}, V_{k l}\right) \geq \nu^{\prime}(1+\epsilon)^{k}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1}^{(k)} \times B_{2}^{(k)}: d(x, y)>\mu(1+\epsilon)^{k}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{N_{k}}\left(U_{k l} \times V_{k l}\right)
$$

Now if $x \in B_{1}, y \in B_{2}$ with $d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$ we may choose $0 \leq k \leq m$ so that

$$
(1+\epsilon)^{k} \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)<d(x, y) \leq(1+\epsilon)^{k+1} \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

Then for a suitable $1 \leq l \leq N_{k}$ we have $x \in U_{k l}, y \in V_{k l}$ and

$$
\lambda \mu \delta\left(U_{k l}, V_{k l}\right) \geq \nu^{\prime}(1+\epsilon)^{k} \mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\nu^{\prime}}{1+\epsilon} d(x, y)=\nu d(x, y)
$$

Relabeling the sets $\left(U_{k l}, V_{k l}\right)_{l \leq N_{k}, 0 \leq k \leq m}$ gives the conclusion.
Lemma 2.6. Suppose $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi(\lambda)$. Suppose $0<\alpha<\beta$. Let $F, G$ be finite subsets of $M$ and let $\Delta(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$ be the set of $(x, y) \in M \times M$ such that

$$
\lambda(d(x, G)+d(y, G))+\alpha \leq d(x, y)<\lambda(d(x, F)+d(y, F))+\beta
$$

Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$ of functions $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) \leq \lambda$ such that

$$
|f(x)| \leq \lambda \beta \quad x \in F
$$

and

$$
d(x, y)<\max _{f \in \mathcal{F}}|f(x)-f(y)| \quad(x, y) \in \Delta(F, G, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

Proof. Let $R$ be the diameter of $G$. Then for $(x, y) \in \Delta(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$ we have

$$
\lambda(d(x, y)-R)+\alpha \leq d(x, y)
$$

so that

$$
(\lambda-1) d(x, y)<\lambda R .
$$

Hence

$$
d(x, G)+d(y, G)<\frac{R}{\lambda-1} .
$$

We next let

$$
\mu=\lambda+\frac{(\lambda-1) \alpha}{2 R}
$$

and choose $\nu=\nu(\mu)$ according to the conclusion of Lemma 2.5.
We now fix $\epsilon>0$ so that $4 \mu \epsilon<\alpha$.
Let $E=\left\{x: d(x, G)<(\lambda-1)^{-1} R\right\}$. Since $E$ is metrically bounded and $F \cup G$ is finite we can partition $E$ into finitely many subsets $\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}\right)$ so that for each $z \in F \cup G$ we have:

$$
\left|d(x, z)-d\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad x, x^{\prime} \in E_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m
$$

Since $G$ is finite, for each $j$ there exist $z_{j} \in G$ and $r_{j} \geq 0$ so that

$$
\inf _{x \in E_{j}} d\left(x, z_{j}\right)=\inf _{x \in E_{j}} d(x, G)=r_{j} .
$$

Thus $E_{j}$ is contained in a ball $B_{j}$ centered at $z_{j}$ with radius $r_{j}+\epsilon$.
Now for each pair $(j, k)$ we can find finitely many pairs of sets $\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right)_{l=1}^{N_{j k}}$ such that for every $(x, y) \in E_{j} \times E_{k}$ with $d(x, y)>\mu\left(r_{j}+r_{k}+2 \epsilon\right)$ there exists $1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ with $x \in U_{j k l}, y \in V_{j k l}$ and

$$
\lambda \mu \delta\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right) \geq \nu d(x, y)
$$

We may as well assume that $U_{j k l} \subset E_{j}$ and $V_{j k l} \subset E_{k}$.
Then we apply Lemma 2.1 to construct Lipschitz functions $f_{j k l}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $1 \leq j, k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ such that $\operatorname{Lip}\left(f_{j k l}\right) \leq \lambda$,

$$
\left|f_{j k l}(x)\right| \leq \lambda \beta \quad x \in F
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{j k l}(x)-f_{j k l}(y)\right| \geq \lambda \theta_{j k l} \quad x \in U_{j k l}, y \in V_{j k l}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{j k l}=\min \left(\delta\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right), \delta\left(U_{j k l}, F\right)+\delta\left(V_{j k l}, F\right)+2 \beta\right) .
$$

Now let us suppose $(x, y) \in \Delta(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $(j, k)$ so that $x \in E_{j}, y \in E_{k}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, y) & \geq \lambda(d(x, G)+d(y, G))+\alpha \\
& \geq \lambda\left(r_{j}+r_{k}\right)+\alpha \\
& =\mu\left(r_{j}+r_{k}+2 \epsilon\right)+\alpha-2 \mu \epsilon-(\mu-\lambda)\left(r_{j}+r_{k}\right) \\
& \geq \mu\left(r_{j}+r_{k}+2 \epsilon\right)+\alpha-2 \mu \epsilon-(\mu-\lambda)(\lambda-1)^{-1} R \\
& >\mu\left(r_{j}+r_{k}+2 \epsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists $1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ so that $x \in U_{j k l}, y \in V_{j k l}$ and

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right) \geq \frac{\nu}{\mu} d(x, y)>d(x, y)
$$

On the other hand, $\epsilon<\alpha / 2<\beta / 2$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(\delta\left(U_{j k l}, F\right)+\delta\left(V_{j k l}, F\right)+2 \beta\right) & \geq \lambda(d(x, F)+d(y, F)+2 \beta-2 \epsilon) \\
& >\lambda(d(x, F)+d(y, F)+\beta) \\
& >d(x, y)+(\lambda-1) \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|f_{j k l}(x)-f_{j k l}(y)\right| \geq \lambda \theta_{j k l}>d(x, y)
$$

Thus we can take for $\mathcal{F}$ the collection of all functions $f_{j k l}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ and $1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$.

We now state our main result.
Theorem 2.7. If a separable metric space $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda>1$, then there is a Lipschitz embedding $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}$ with

$$
d(x, y)<\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M, x \neq y
$$

Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense set of distinct points of $M$.
Denote $F_{k}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}=0$.
Using Lemma 2.6 we can find an increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (with $n_{0}=0$ ) and a sequence $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of Lipschitz functions $f_{j}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{Lip}\left(f_{j}\right) \leq \lambda$ so that

$$
\left|f_{j}(x)\right| \leq \lambda \epsilon_{k} \quad x \in F_{k}, n_{k-1}<j \leq n_{k}
$$

and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(d\left(x, F_{k+1}\right)+d\left(y, F_{k+1}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k+1} \leq d(x, y)<\lambda\left(d\left(x, F_{k}\right)+d\left(y, F_{k}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\max _{n_{k-1}<j \leq n_{k}}\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(y)\right|>d(x, y) .
$$

Define the map $f: M \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ by $f(x)=\left(f_{j}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then $\operatorname{Lip}(f) \leq \lambda$ and since $f$ maps each $u_{j}$ into $c_{0}, f(M) \subset c_{0}$. Furthermore if $x \neq y$ the sequence

$$
\sigma_{k}=\lambda\left(d\left(x, F_{k}\right)+d\left(y, F_{k}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k}
$$

is decreasing with $\sigma_{1}>d(x, y)$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{k}=0$. Hence there is exactly one choice of $k$ so that (2.1) holds and thus $\|f(x)-f(y)\|>d(x, y)$.

As a corollary, we obtain the following improvement of Aharoni's theorem.
Theorem 2.8. For every separable metric space $(M, d)$ there is a Lipschitz embedding $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}$ so that

$$
d(x, y)<\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq 2 d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M, x \neq y
$$

Proof. Combine Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.7.

Remark. It follows from Proposition 3 in Aharoni's original paper [1] that the above statement is optimal.

Theorem 2.9. For every locally compact metric space ( $M, d$ ) and every $\lambda>1,(M, d) \lambda$-embeds into $c_{0}$. This result is best possible.

Proof. The existence of the embedding follows immediately from the combination of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.7. The optimality of the statement follows from Proposition 3.2 in [16], where J. Pelant proved that $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the distance $d\left(\left(x_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}\right)\right)=\sum 2^{-n}\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|$ cannot be isometrically embedded into $c_{0}$.

To complete the picture we shall now give a locally finite counterexample. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the canonical basis of $\ell_{1}$ and consider the following locally finite metric subspace of $\ell_{1}: M=\left\{0, e_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{n e_{n}, e_{0}+n e_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$. Assume that $f=\left(f_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an isometry from $M$ into $c_{0}$ such that $f(0)=0$. Then for all $n \neq m$ in $\mathbb{N}$, there exists $k=k_{n, m} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(e_{0}+n e_{n}\right)-f_{k}\left(m e_{m}\right)\right|=1+n+m
$$

Since $f_{k}(0)=0$, we obtain that there is $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n, m} \in\{-1,1\}$ such that $f_{k}\left(e_{0}+n e_{n}\right)=\varepsilon(1+n)$ and $f_{k}\left(m e_{m}\right)=-\varepsilon m$. Therefore $f_{k}\left(e_{0}\right)=\varepsilon$ and $f_{k}\left(n e_{n}\right)=\varepsilon n$.
Since $f\left(e_{0}\right) \in c_{0}$, there exists an integer $K$ such that for all positive integers $n \neq m, k_{n, m} \leq K$. Hence, if $\alpha(k, n)$ is the signum of $f_{k}\left(n e_{n}\right)$, we have that there exists $k \leq K$ so that $\alpha(k, n) \neq \alpha(k, m)$, whenever $1 \leq n<m$. But on the other hand, there is clearly an infinite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k \leq K$ and every $n, m \in A, \alpha(k, n)=\alpha(k, m)$. This is a contradiction.

## 3. Embeddings of classical Banach spaces

In this section we will consider the best constants for embedding certain classical Banach spaces into $c_{0}$. We start by establishing a lower bound condition, using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose $X$ is a Banach space and that $f: X \rightarrow c_{0}$ is a Lipschitz embedding with constant $\lambda_{0}$. Then for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=1$ and any infinite-dimensional subspace $Y$ of $X$ we have

$$
\inf _{\substack{y \in Y \\\|y\|=1}}\|u+y\| \leq \lambda_{0}
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $X$ has property $\Pi(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Let us consider $B_{1}=-u+B_{X}$ and $B_{2}=u+B_{X}$, where $B_{X}$ denotes the closed unit ball of $X$. Suppose $\mu>\lambda_{0}$ and select $\mu>\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Then, for some $\nu>\mu$, we can find finitely many sets $\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ (which we can assume to be closed) verifying:

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq 2 \nu
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}:\|x-y\|>2 \mu\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)
$$

Now let $E$ be any subspace of $X$ of dimension greater than $N$ and let

$$
A_{j}=\left\{e \in E:\|e\|=1,(-u+e, u-e) \in U_{j} \times V_{j}\right\}
$$

Thus the sets $A_{j}$ are all closed subsets of the unit sphere $S_{E}$ of $E$. Assume that for any $e \in S_{E},\|u-e\|>\mu$. Then $A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{N}=S_{E}$. We now use a classical corollary of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem which is in fact due to Lyusternik and Shnirelman [13] and predates Borsuk's work (see [14] p. 23). This gives the existence of $e$ in $S_{E}$ and $k \leq N$ such that $e$ and $-e$ belong to $A_{k}$, i.e. $-u \pm e \in U_{k}$ and $u \pm e \in V_{k}$. This implies that $\delta\left(U_{k}, V_{k}\right) \leq 2$ and hence $\lambda \geq \nu>\mu$ which is a contradiction. Thus there exists $e \in S_{E}$ with $\|u-e\| \leq \mu$.
Since this is true for every finite-dimensional subspace $E$ of dimension greater than $N$ and every $\mu>\lambda_{0}$ the conclusion follows.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then there is a Lipschitz embedding of $\ell_{p}$ into $c_{0}$ with constant $2^{1 / p}$, and this constant is best possible.

Proof. The fact that $\ell_{p}$ does not $\lambda$-embed into $c_{0}$ when $\lambda<2^{1 / p}$ follows immediately from Proposition 3.1. So we only need to show that $\ell_{p}$ verifies condition $\Pi\left(2^{1 / p}\right)$.

Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be balls with centers $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and radii $r_{1}, r_{2}$. Suppose $\mu>$ $2^{1 / p}$. Then $\mu<2^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p}-1\right)^{1 / p}$. We pick $\nu$ such that $\mu<\nu<2^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p}-1\right)^{1 / p}$
and we fix $\epsilon>0$ so that

$$
2^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+2 \epsilon\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2^{1+1 / p} \epsilon>\nu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

We first select $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{1}(k)\right|^{p}, \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{2}(k)\right|^{p}<\epsilon^{p}
$$

Let $E$ be the linear span of $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\}$ where $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is the canonical basis of $\ell_{p}$. Let $P$ the canonical projection of $\ell_{p}$ onto $E, Q=I-P$ and $R=\max \left(\left\|a_{1}\right\|+r_{1},\left\|a_{2}\right\|+r_{2}\right)$. Then we partition $R B_{E}$ into finitely many sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ with diam $A_{j}<\epsilon$.
Now, set $U_{j}=\left\{x \in B_{1}: P x \in A_{j}\right\}, V_{j}=\left\{x \in B_{2}: P x \in A_{j}\right\}$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{(j, k) \exists(x, y) \in U_{j} \times V_{k}:\|x-y\|>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}:\|x-y\|>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \subset \bigcup_{(j, k) \in \mathcal{S}} U_{j} \times V_{k} .
$$

It remains to estimate $\delta\left(U_{j}, V_{k}\right)$ for $(j, k) \in \mathcal{S}$. Suppose $u \in U_{j}, v \in V_{k}$ and that $x \in U_{j}, y \in V_{k}$ are such that $\|x-y\|>\mu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\|u-v\| \geq\|P u-P v\| \geq\|P x-P y\|-2 \epsilon .
$$

On the other hand

$$
r_{1} \geq\left\|x-a_{1}\right\| \geq\left\|Q x-Q a_{1}\right\| \geq\|Q x\|-\epsilon
$$

and

$$
r_{2} \geq\left\|y-a_{2}\right\| \geq\left\|Q y-Q a_{2}\right\| \geq\|Q y\|-\epsilon .
$$

Thus

$$
\|Q x-Q y\| \leq r_{1}+r_{2}+2 \epsilon .
$$

Now
$\mu^{p}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{p}<\|P x-P y\|^{p}+\|Q x-Q y\|^{p} \leq\|P x-P y\|^{p}+\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+2 \epsilon\right)^{p}$.
Hence

$$
\|P x-P y\|^{p}>\mu^{p}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+2 \epsilon\right)^{p}
$$

and thus
$2^{1 / p} \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{k}\right) \geq 2^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{p}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+2 \epsilon\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2^{1+1 / p} \epsilon>\nu\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)$.

We now give a second lower bound condition in place of Proposition 3.1. We do not know whether the conclusion can be improved replacing $\lambda_{0}^{3}$ by $\lambda_{0}$. If $X$ has a 1 -unconditional basis, $\lambda_{0}^{3}$ can be improved to $\lambda_{0}^{2}$.

Proposition 3.3. If $X$ is a separable Banach space and $f: X \rightarrow c_{0}$ is a Lipschitz embedding with constant $\lambda_{0}$ then if $\|x\|=1$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a normalized weakly null sequence in $X$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x+x_{n}\right\| \leq \lambda_{0}^{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We assume that $\|x-y\| \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda_{0}\|x-y\|$ for $x, y \in X$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter on the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. We start by proving that if $x \in X$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(z_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two weakly null sequences with $\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq\|x\|$ and $\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|z_{n}\right\| \leq\|x\|$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}^{-1} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{n}+z_{n}\right\| \leq \lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{m}+z_{n}\right\| \leq \lambda_{0} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{n}+z_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to show this under the condition $\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|y_{n}\right\|=\alpha, \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|z_{n}\right\|=\beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$ and $\|x\|=1$. Fix any $\epsilon>0$. Let $f(x)=\left(f_{j}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then for some $N$ we have

$$
\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(-x)\right|<\epsilon \quad j>N
$$

Thus

$$
\left|f_{j}\left(x+y_{m}\right)-f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{0}\left(\left\|y_{m}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right)+\epsilon \quad j>N
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}} \max _{j>N}\left|f_{j}\left(x+y_{m}\right)-f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{0}(\alpha+\beta)+\epsilon .
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}} \max _{j>N}\left|f_{j}\left(x+y_{n}\right)-f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right| \leq \lambda_{0}(\alpha+\beta)+\epsilon
$$

Let $\sigma_{j}=\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}} f_{j}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$ and $\tau_{j}=\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}} f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left|f_{j}\left(x+y_{n}\right)-f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\sigma_{j}-\tau_{j}\right|
$$

and

$$
\lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left|f_{j}\left(x+y_{m}\right)-f_{j}\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\sigma_{j}-\tau_{j}\right| .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{n}+z_{n}\right\| & \leq \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|f\left(x+y_{n}\right)-f\left(-x-z_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \max \left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left|\sigma_{j}-\tau_{j}\right|, \lambda_{0}(\alpha+\beta)+\epsilon\right) \\
& \leq \max \left(\lambda_{0} \lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{m}+z_{n}\right\|, \lambda_{0}(\alpha+\beta)+\epsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary and that

$$
\alpha+\beta \leq 2 \leq \lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{m}+z_{n}\right\|
$$

we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{n}+z_{n}\right\| \leq \lambda_{0} \lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+y_{m}+z_{n}\right\| .
$$

The other inequality in (3.3) is similar.

Now choose $x_{n}=y_{n}=-z_{n}$ in (3.3). We obtain

$$
\lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| \leq 2 \lambda_{0}\|x\|
$$

provided $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly null and $\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq\|x\|$. Hence

$$
\lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|x+\frac{1}{2} x_{m}\right\| \leq \lambda_{0}\|x\| .
$$

This inequality can be iterated to show that

$$
\lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|x+\frac{1}{2} x_{m}+\frac{1}{2} x_{n}\right\| \leq \lambda_{0}^{2}\|x\|
$$

Now assume $\|x\|=1$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a normalized weakly null sequence. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|x+x_{n}\right\| & =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+x_{n}+x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0} \lim _{m \in \mathcal{U}} \lim _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\|2 x+x_{m}+x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \lambda_{0}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ be a separable Banach space.
(i) If $X$ isometrically embeds into $c_{0}$, then $X$ is linearly isometric to a closed subspace of $c_{0}$.
(ii) If, for every $\epsilon>0, X$ Lipschitz embeds into $c_{0}$ with constant at most $1+\epsilon$, then, for every $\epsilon>0$ there is a closed subspace $Y_{\epsilon}$ of $c_{0}$ with BanachMazur distance $d_{B M}\left(X, Y_{\epsilon}\right)<1+\epsilon$.

Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of the result of [8] that if a separable Banach space is isometric to a subset of a Banach space $Z$ then it is also linearly isometric to a subspace of $Z$.
(ii) Here we observe first that if $X$ contains a subspace isomorphic to $\ell_{1}$ then, for any $\epsilon>0$, it contains a subspace $Z_{\epsilon}$ with the Banach-Mazur distance $d_{B M}\left(Z_{\epsilon}, \ell_{1}\right) \leq 1+\epsilon$ by James' distortion theorem [10]. Assume now that $X$ can be $\lambda$-embedded into $c_{0}$. Thus we have that for any $\epsilon>$ $0, \ell_{1}$ can be $\lambda(1+\epsilon)$-embedded into $c_{0}$. Then it follows from Aharoni's counterexample in [1] that $\lambda \geq 2$.
Suppose now that $X$ does not contain any isomorphic copy of $\ell_{1}$. If $\|x\|=1$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any normalized weakly null sequence we have by Proposition 3.3 that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x+x_{n}\right\|=1
$$

The conclusion then follows from [12] Theorem 3.5.

Remark. The modulus of asymptotic smoothness of a Banach space $X$ has been defined in [15] as follows. If $\tau>0$,

$$
\rho_{X}(\tau)=\sup _{x \in S_{X}} \inf _{\operatorname{dim}(X / Y)<\infty} \sup _{y \in S_{Y}}\|x+\tau y\|-1
$$

The space $X$ is said to be asymptotically uniformly flat if $\rho_{X}(\tau)=0$ for some $\tau>0$. Clearly, this is closely related to equation (3.2). It is shown in [9] and [11] that a uniformly flat Banach space is isomorphic to a subspace of $c_{0}$. This property, or rather its dual version, is used in [9] to show that a Banach space which is Lipschitz isomorphic to a subspace of $c_{0}$ is linearly isomorphic to a subspace of $c_{0}$.

## 4. Embeddings into $c_{0}^{+}$.

In this section and the following we complete the already thorough study of Lipschitz embeddings into $c_{0}^{+}$made by Pelant in [16].

Lemma 4.1. Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space and suppose that $A, B$ and $C$ are non-empty subsets of $M$. Then for $\epsilon>0$, there exists a Lipschitz function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ such that
(i) $f(x) \leq \epsilon \quad x \in C$
and
(ii) $|f(x)-f(y)| \geq \theta=\min (\delta(A, B), \max (\delta(A, C), \delta(B, C))+\epsilon)$
$x \in A, y \in B$.
Proof. Assume that $\delta(A, C) \geq \delta(B, C)$. Then $\theta=\min (\delta(A, B), \delta(A, C)+\epsilon)$. Let us define:

$$
f(x)=\max (\theta-d(x, A), 0) \quad x \in M .
$$

Then $f(x)=\theta$ for $x \in A$. If $x \in B, \theta-d(x, A) \leq \theta-\delta(A, B) \leq 0$ so that $f(x)=0$. Finally if $x \in C$ we have $\theta-d(x, A) \leq \theta-\delta(A, C) \leq \epsilon$, so that $f(x) \leq \epsilon$.

We may now introduce a condition analogous to $\Pi(\lambda)$. We say that $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda>1$, if:
(i) Whenever $\mu>\lambda$ there exists $\nu>\mu$ so that if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two metric balls of the same radius $r$, there is a finite number of sets $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ and $\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ so that

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu r
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu r\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)
$$

and
(ii) If $1<\lambda \leq 2$, there exists $1<\theta<\lambda$ and a function $\varphi: M \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ so
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq d(x, y) \leq \theta \max (\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad x, y \in M \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note here that condition (ii) is not required when $\lambda>2$ since fixing any $a \in X$ the function $\varphi(x)=d(x, a)$ satisfies (4.4) with $\theta=2$.

We can repeat the same program for property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$.
Lemma 4.2. Every metric space has property $\Pi_{+}(3)$.
Proof. For $\mu>3$, let

$$
U=B_{1} \cap\left\{x: \exists y \in B_{2}, d(x, y)>\mu r\right\}
$$

and

$$
V=B_{2} \cap\left\{y: \exists x \in B_{1}, d(x, y)>\mu r\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu r\right\} \subset U \times V
$$

Suppose $x \in U, y \in V$. Then there exists $x^{\prime} \in U$ with $d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)>\mu r$. Hence

$$
d(x, y)>\mu r-d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \geq(\mu-2) r
$$

Therefore we can take $\nu=3 \mu-6>\mu$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\lambda>2$. Then every locally compact metric space has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$.

The proof is immediate. Let us mention that a locally compact metric space satisfies condition (i) for every $\lambda>1$.

We also have
Lemma 4.4. If $\lambda>1$, then any compact metric space has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$.
Proof. Let $(K, d)$ be a compact metric space. We only have to prove condition (ii). For $\epsilon>0$, pick a finite $\epsilon$-net $F$ of $K$ and define

$$
\varphi_{\epsilon}(x)=\max _{z \in F}(d(x, z))
$$

For a given $\lambda>1, \varphi_{\epsilon}$ fulfills condition (ii) of $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$ if $\epsilon$ is small enough.
Proposition 4.5. Suppose $\lambda_{0} \geq 1$ and $M$ is a metric space which Lipschitz embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$with constant $\lambda_{0}$. Then $M$ has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Proof. We first consider (i) of the definition of $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$. Suppose $\mu>\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}$ be metric balls of radii $r>0$ and centers $a_{1}, a_{2}$.
Let $\Delta=\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}: d(x, y)>\mu r\right\}$ and $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$be an embedding such that

$$
d(x, y) \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda_{0} d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M
$$

Suppose $f(x)=\left(f_{i}(x)\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Then there exists $n$ so that

$$
f_{i}\left(a_{1}\right), f_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)<(\mu-\lambda) r \quad i \geq n+1 .
$$

Thus if $(x, y) \in \Delta$ we have

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}(y)\right| \leq \max \left(f_{i}(x), f_{i}(y)\right)<(\mu-\lambda) r+\lambda_{0} r<d(x, y), \quad i \geq n+1
$$

Hence

$$
d(x, y) \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}(y)\right| \quad(x, y) \in \Delta .
$$

Choose $\epsilon>0$ so that $\lambda(\mu-\epsilon)>\lambda_{0} \mu$. By a compactness argument we can find coverings $\left(W_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{m}$ of $B_{1}$ and $\left(W_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{k=1}^{m^{\prime}}$ of $B_{2}$ such that:

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon r \quad x, x^{\prime} \in W_{k}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{i}(x)-f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon r \quad x, x^{\prime} \in W_{k}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m^{\prime}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m^{\prime}: W_{k} \times W_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

and define $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ in such a way that $\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ is an enumeration of $\left(W_{k} \times W_{k^{\prime}}\right)_{\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{S}}$. Then $\Delta \subset \cup_{j=1}^{N} U_{j} \times V_{j}$ and the same calculations as in the proof of Proposition 2.4 give that

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu r \quad \text { with } \quad \nu=\lambda \lambda_{0}^{-1}(\mu-\epsilon)>\mu
$$

If $\lambda \leq 2$ we also must consider (ii). Here we define $\varphi(x)=\lambda_{0}^{-1}\|f(x)\|$ where $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$is as above. Then $\varphi$ satisfies (4.4) with $\theta=\lambda_{0}$. Indeed,

$$
|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq \lambda_{0}^{-1}\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq d(x, y)
$$

and

$$
d(x, y) \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \max (\|f(x)\|,\|f(y)\|) \leq \lambda_{0} \max (\varphi(x), \varphi(y))
$$

Next, in place of Lemma 2.5 we have
Lemma 4.6. Let $\lambda>1$ and $(M, d)$ be a metric space with property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$. Then for every $\mu>\lambda$ there is a constant $\nu>\mu$ so that if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two metric balls of radius $r$ then there are finitely many sets $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N},\left(V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ such that if $(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}$ and $d(x, y)>\mu r$ then there exists $1 \leq j \leq N$ so that $x \in U_{j}, y \in V_{j}$ and:

$$
\lambda \mu \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq \nu d(x, y)
$$

We omit the proof of this which is very similar to that of Lemma 2.5 and only uses part (i) of the definition of $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$.

Then we have the following analogue of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 4.7. Let $\lambda>1$. Suppose $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$. Suppose $0<\alpha<\beta$. Let $F, G$ be finite subsets of $M$ and let $\Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$ be the set of $(x, y) \in M \times M$ such that

$$
\lambda \max (d(x, G), d(y, G))+\alpha \leq d(x, y)<\lambda \max (d(x, F), d(y, F))+\beta
$$

Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$ of functions $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\operatorname{Lip}(f) \leq \lambda$ and such that

$$
f(x) \leq \lambda \beta \quad x \in F
$$

and

$$
d(x, y)<\max _{f \in \mathcal{F}}|f(x)-f(y)| \quad(x, y) \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

Proof. We first argue that for some constant $K$ we have

$$
d(x, y) \leq K, \quad x, y \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

If $\lambda>2$ this follows from the fact that

$$
d(x, G)+d(y, G) \geq d(x, y)-R
$$

where $R$ is the diameter of $G$. Hence

$$
d(x, y) \leq K=\lambda(\lambda-2)^{-1} R, \quad x, y \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

In the case $1<\lambda \leq 2$ let $\varphi, \theta$ be as in the definition of $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$ and satisfy (4.4). Let $K_{0}=\max \{\varphi(z): z \in G\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda d(x, y) & \leq \lambda \theta \max (\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \\
& \leq \lambda \theta K_{0}+\lambda \theta \max (d(x, G), d(y, G)) \\
& \leq \lambda \theta K_{0}+\theta d(x, y) \quad x, y \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
d(x, y) \leq K=\frac{\lambda \theta K_{0}}{\lambda-\theta}, \quad x, y \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta)
$$

We next let

$$
\mu=\lambda+\frac{\alpha \lambda}{2 K}
$$

and choose $\nu=\nu(\mu)$ according to the conclusion of Lemma 4.6. We fix $\epsilon>0$ so that $\epsilon<\min \left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \mu}, \lambda^{-1}(\lambda-1) \beta\right)$.
Let $E=\left\{x: d(x, G) \leq \lambda^{-1} K\right\}$. Since $E$ is metrically bounded and $F \cup G$ is finite we can partition $E$ into finitely many subsets $\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}\right)$ so that for each $z \in F \cup G$ we have:

$$
\left|d(x, z)-d\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad x, x^{\prime} \in E_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m .
$$

For each $j$, we define $z_{j} \in G$ and $r_{j}$, as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, so that

$$
\inf _{x \in E_{j}} d\left(x, z_{j}\right)=\inf _{x \in E_{j}} d(x, G)=r_{j} .
$$

Note that $r_{j} \leq \lambda^{-1} K$ and $E_{j}$ is contained in a ball $B_{j}$ centered at $z_{j}$ with radius $r_{j}+\epsilon$.
Now for each pair $(j, k)$ we denote $B_{j, k}$ the ball with center $z_{j}$ and radius $\max \left(r_{j}+\epsilon, r_{k}+\epsilon\right)$. By Lemma 4.6, we can find finitely many pairs of sets $\left(\tilde{U}_{j k l}, \tilde{V}_{j k l}\right)_{l=1}^{N_{j k}}$ such that for every $(x, y) \in B_{j, k} \times B_{k, j}$ with $d(x, y)>$ $\mu\left(\max \left(r_{j}, r_{k}\right)+\epsilon\right)$ there exists $1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ with $x \in \tilde{U}_{j k l}, y \in \tilde{V}_{j k l}$ and

$$
\lambda \mu \delta\left(\tilde{U}_{j k l}, \tilde{V}_{j k l}\right) \geq \nu d(x, y)
$$

Then we set $U_{j k l}=\tilde{U}_{j k l} \cap E_{j}$ and $V_{j k l}=\tilde{V}_{j k l} \cap E_{k}$.
We now apply Lemma 4.1 to construct Lipschitz functions $f_{j k l}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ where $1 \leq j, k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ such that $\operatorname{Lip}\left(f_{j k l}\right) \leq \lambda$,

$$
f_{j k l}(x) \leq \lambda \beta \quad x \in F
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{j k l}(x)-f_{j k l}(y)\right| \geq \lambda \theta_{j k l} \quad x \in U_{j k l}, y \in V_{j k l}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{j k l}=\min \left(\delta\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right), \max \left(\delta\left(U_{j k l}, F\right), \delta\left(V_{j k l}, F\right)\right)+\beta\right)
$$

Now let us suppose $(x, y) \in \Delta_{+}(F, G, \alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $(j, k)$ so that $x \in E_{j}, y \in E_{k}$. It follows from our choice of $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, y) & \geq \lambda \max (d(x, G), d(y, G))+\alpha \\
& \geq \lambda \max \left(r_{j}, r_{k}\right)+\alpha>\mu\left(\max \left(r_{j}, r_{k}\right)+\epsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists $1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$ so that $x \in U_{j k l}, y \in V_{j k l}$ and

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j k l}, V_{j k l}\right) \geq \frac{\nu}{\mu} d(x, y)>d(x, y)
$$

On the other hand, $\epsilon<\lambda^{-1}(\lambda-1) \beta$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\lambda \max \left(\delta\left(U_{j k l}, F\right), \delta\left(V_{j k l}, F\right)\right)+\beta\right) & \geq \lambda \max (d(x, F), d(y, F))+\lambda(\beta-\epsilon) \\
& >\lambda \max (d(x, F), d(y, F))+\beta \\
& >d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|f_{j k l}(x)-f_{j k l}(y)\right| \geq \lambda \theta_{j k l}>d(x, y)
$$

Thus we can take for $\mathcal{F}$ the collection of all functions $f_{j k l}$ for $1 \leq j, k \leq$ $m, 1 \leq l \leq N_{j k}$.

Finally our theorem is
Theorem 4.8. Suppose a separable metric space $(M, d)$ has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$ with $\lambda>1$. Then there is a Lipschitz embedding $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$with

$$
d(x, y)<\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M, x \neq y
$$

Proof. We use the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.7. Then we build an increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (with $n_{0}=0$ ) and a sequence $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of Lipschitz functions $f_{j}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\operatorname{Lip}\left(f_{j}\right) \leq \lambda$ so that

$$
f_{j}(x) \leq \lambda \epsilon_{k} \quad x \in F_{k}, n_{k-1}<j \leq n_{k}
$$

and if
$\lambda \max \left(d\left(x, F_{k+1}\right), d\left(y, F_{k+1}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k+1} \leq d(x, y)<\lambda \max \left(d\left(x, F_{k}\right), d\left(y, F_{k}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k}$ then

$$
\max _{n_{k-1}<j \leq n_{k}}\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(y)\right|>d(x, y) .
$$

If $x \neq y$ the sequence

$$
\tau_{k}=\lambda \max \left(d\left(x, F_{k}\right), d\left(y, F_{k}\right)\right)+\epsilon_{k}
$$

is decreasing and tends to zero.
If $\lambda>2$, we clearly have $\tau_{1}>d(x, y)$.
Assume $1<\lambda \leq 2$. Let $\varphi$ be given by the part (ii) of property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$. We choose $\epsilon_{1}>\lambda \varphi\left(u_{1}\right)$. Then we have

$$
d(x, y) \leq \lambda \max (\varphi(x), \varphi(y))<\epsilon_{1}+\lambda \max \left(d\left(x, u_{1}\right), d\left(y, u_{1}\right)\right)=\tau_{1} .
$$

Hence, in both cases the desired embedding can be defined again by $f(x)=$ $\left(f_{j}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

As a first corollary, we obtain the two following results due to Pelant ([16]).

Corollary 4.9. (a) For every separable metric space ( $M, d$ ) there is a Lipschitz embedding $f: M \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$so that

$$
d(x, y)<\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq 3 d(x, y) \quad x, y \in M, x \neq y
$$

(b) For any compact metric space $(K, d)$ and any $\lambda>1,(K, d) \lambda$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$.

It is proved in [16] that both of the above statements are optimal. This was also known to Aharoni [2] for part (a).

We also have.
Theorem 4.10. For every locally compact metric space ( $M, d$ ) and every $\lambda>2,(M, d) \lambda$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$. This result is optimal.

Proof. The result is obtained by combining Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.3. We only have to show its optimality.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of all finite sequences with values in $\{0,1\}$ including the empty sequence denoted $\emptyset$ and let $\mathcal{D}^{*}=\mathcal{D} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$. For $s \in \mathcal{D}$, we denote $|s|$
its length. Then $\left(e_{s}\right)_{s \in \mathcal{D}}$ is the canonical basis of $\ell_{1}(\mathcal{D})$. We consider the following metric subspace of $\ell_{1}(\mathcal{D})$ :

$$
M=\left\{0, e_{\emptyset}\right\} \cup\left\{|s| e_{s}, e_{\emptyset}+|s| e_{s}, s \in \mathcal{D}^{*}\right\} .
$$

This is clearly a locally finite metric space. Assume now that there exists $f=\left(f_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}: M \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$such that

$$
\|x-y\|_{1} \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\|_{\infty} \leq 2\|x-y\|_{1} \quad x, y \in M
$$

There exits $K \geq 1$ such that $f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}\right)<1$ and $f_{k}(0)<1$ for all $k>K$. Then, using the positivity of $f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{s}\right)-f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right)\right| & \leq \max \left(f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{s}\right), f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right)\right) \\
& <1+2 n \quad k>K, s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left\|f\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{s}\right)-f\left(n e_{t}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \geq 1+2 n \quad s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n
$$

Thus, for all $s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n$, there exists $k \leq K$ so that

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{s}\right)-f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right)\right| \geq 1+2 n
$$

Let now $C=\max \left(\left\|f\left(e_{\emptyset}\right)\right\|_{\infty},\|f(0)\|_{\infty}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{s}\right)-f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right)\right| \leq C+2 n \quad k \leq K, s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n
$$

Thus, for $n$ large enough and all $s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n$, there exists $k \leq K$ such that either

$$
f_{k}\left(n e_{s}\right) \leq C-1 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{t}\right) \geq 1+2 n
$$

or

$$
f_{k}\left(n e_{s}\right) \geq 1+2 n \quad \text { and } \quad f_{k}\left(e_{\emptyset}+n e_{t}\right) \leq C-1
$$

Therefore: either

$$
f_{k}\left(n e_{s}\right) \leq C-1 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right) \geq 2 n-1
$$

or

$$
f_{k}\left(n e_{s}\right) \geq 1+2 n \quad \text { and } \quad f_{k}\left(n e_{t}\right) \leq C+1
$$

Let us now denote $\alpha(k, s)=\mathbb{1}_{[0, C+1]}\left(f_{k}\left(|s| e_{s}\right)\right)$. Then, for $n$ big enough, we have that for all $s \neq t,|s|=|t|=n$, there exists $k \leq K$ so that $\alpha(k, s) \neq \alpha(k, t)$. This is clearly impossible if $n>K$. This finishes our proof.
5. Embeddings of subsets of classical Banach spaces into $c_{0}^{+}$.

Proposition 5.1. Suppose $X$ is a separable Banach space and that $f$ : $X \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$is a Lipschitz embedding with constant $\lambda_{0}$. Then for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=1$ and any infinite-dimensional subspace $Y$ of $X$ we have

$$
\inf _{\substack{y \in Y \\\|y\|=1}}\|u+2 y\| \leq \lambda_{0} .
$$

Proof. The proof is almost identical to that of Proposition 3.1. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that $X$ has property $\Pi_{+}(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. We consider $B_{1}=-u+2 B_{X}$ and $B_{2}=u+2 B_{X}$, where $B_{X}$ denotes the closed unit ball of $X$. Suppose $\mu>\lambda_{0}$ and select $\mu>\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Then, for some $\nu>\mu$, we can find finitely many closed sets $\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}$ verifying:

$$
\lambda \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{j}\right) \geq 2 \nu
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}:\|x-y\|>2 \mu\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(U_{j} \times V_{j}\right)
$$

Now let $E$ be any subspace of $X$ of dimension greater than $N$ and let

$$
A_{j}=\left\{e \in E:\|e\|=1,(-u+2 e, u-2 e) \in U_{j} \times V_{j}\right\} .
$$

We then conclude the proof as in Proposition 3.1. Assume that for any $e \in S_{E},\|u+2 e\|>\mu$. Then $A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{N}=S_{E}$ and so there exists $e$ in $S_{E}$ and $k \leq N$ such that $e$ and $-e$ belong to $A_{k}$, i.e. $-u \pm 2 e \in U_{k}$ and $u \pm 2 e \in V_{k}$. This implies that $\delta\left(U_{k}, V_{k}\right) \leq 2$, which is a contradiction. So, there exists $e \in S_{E}$ with $\|u+2 e\| \leq \mu$ and we conclude as in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $1 \leq p<\infty$.
(i) There is a Lipschitz embedding of $\ell_{p}$ into $c_{0}^{+}$with constant $\left(2^{p}+1\right)^{1 / p}$ and this is best possible.
(ii) There is a Lipschitz embedding of $\ell_{p}^{+}$into $c_{0}^{+}$with constant $3^{1 / p}$ and this is best possible.

Proof. Let us prove first that $\ell_{p}$ has $\Pi_{+}\left(c_{p}\right)$ where $c_{p}=\left(1+2^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.2. Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be balls with centers $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and radius $r>0$. Suppose $\mu>c_{p}$ and $\mu<\nu<c_{p}\left(\mu^{p}-2^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. Fix $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
c_{p}\left(\mu^{p} r^{p}-2^{p}(r+\epsilon)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2 \epsilon c_{p}>\nu r .
$$

We select $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{1}(k)\right|^{p}, \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{2}(k)\right|^{p}<\epsilon^{p} .
$$

Let $E$ be the linear span of $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\}$ where $\left(e_{j}\right)$ is the canonical basis of $\ell_{p}$. Let $P$ the canonical projection of $\ell_{p}$ onto $E, Q=I-P$ and $R=\max \left(\left\|a_{1}\right\|,\left\|a_{2}\right\|\right)+r$. Then we partition $R B_{E}$ into finitely many sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ with $\operatorname{diam}\left(A_{j}\right)<\epsilon$.
Now, set $U_{j}=\left\{x \in B_{1}: P x \in A_{j}\right\}, V_{j}=\left\{x \in B_{2}: P x \in A_{j}\right\}$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{(j, k): \exists(x, y) \in U_{j} \times V_{k}:\|x-y\|>\mu r\right\}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in B_{1} \times B_{2}:\|x-y\|>\mu r\right\} \subset \bigcup_{(j, k) \in \mathcal{S}} U_{j} \times V_{k}
$$

It remains to estimate $\delta\left(U_{j}, V_{k}\right)$ for $(j, k) \in \mathcal{S}$. Suppose $u \in U_{j}, v \in V_{k}$ and that $x \in U_{j}, y \in V_{k}$ are such that $\|x-y\|>\mu r$. Then

$$
\|u-v\| \geq\|P u-P v\| \geq\|P x-P y\|-2 \epsilon .
$$

On the other hand

$$
r \geq\left\|x-a_{1}\right\| \geq\|Q x\|-\epsilon
$$

and

$$
r \geq\left\|y-a_{2}\right\| \geq\|Q y\|-\epsilon
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q x-Q y\| \leq 2 r+2 \epsilon \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\mu^{p} r^{p}<\|P x-P y\|^{p}+\|Q x-Q y\|^{p} \leq\|P x-P y\|^{p}+2^{p}(r+\epsilon)^{p} .
$$

Hence

$$
\|P x-P y\|^{p}>\mu^{p} r^{p}-2^{p}(r+\epsilon)^{p},
$$

and so

$$
c_{p} \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{k}\right) \geq c_{p}\left(\mu^{p} r^{p}-2^{p}(r+\epsilon)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2 \epsilon c_{p}>\nu r .
$$

Hence $\ell_{p}$ has $\Pi_{+}\left(c_{p}\right)$.
Next we show that $\ell_{p}^{+}$has property $\Pi_{+}\left(3^{1 / p}\right)$. To do this we repeat the argument above. We take $\mu>3^{1 / p}$ and suppose that $\mu<\nu<3^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p}-2\right)^{1 / p}$. Choose $\epsilon>0$ so that:

$$
3^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p} r^{p}-2(r+\epsilon)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2 \epsilon 3^{1 / p}>\nu r .
$$

Next repeat the construction, but working inside the positive cone $\ell_{p}^{+}$. The only difference is that (5.6) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q x-Q y\| \leq 2^{1 / p} \max (\|Q x\|,\|Q y\|) \leq 2^{1 / p}(r+\epsilon) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\|P x-P y\|^{p}>\mu^{p} r^{p}-2(r+\epsilon)^{p},
$$

and so this time

$$
3^{1 / p} \delta\left(U_{j}, V_{k}\right) \geq 3^{1 / p}\left(\mu^{p} r^{p}-2(r+\epsilon)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2 \epsilon 3^{1 / p}>\nu r .
$$

For the second half of the condition when $3^{1 / p} \leq 2$ we note that $\varphi(x)=\|x\|$ satisfies (4.4) with $\theta=2^{1 / p}<3^{1 / p}$.

These calculations combined with Theorem 4.8 show the existence of the Lipschitz embeddings in parts (i) and (ii). Proposition 5.1 shows the constant is best possible when in (i). For (ii) let us suppose $f: \ell_{p}^{+} \rightarrow c_{0}^{+}$is an embedding such that

$$
\|x-y\| \leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \lambda\|x-y\| \quad x, y \in \ell_{p}^{+}
$$

where $\lambda<3^{1 / p}$. Let $f(x)=\left(f_{j}(x)\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Let $\epsilon=\left(3^{1 / p}-\lambda\right) / 2$. Then there exists $N$ such that

$$
\max \left(f_{j}\left(e_{1}\right), f_{j}(0)\right)<\epsilon \quad j \geq N+1
$$

Hence if $m, n>1$
$\left|f_{j}\left(e_{1}+e_{m}\right)-f_{j}\left(e_{n}\right)\right| \leq \max \left(f_{j}\left(e_{1}+e_{m}\right), f_{j}\left(e_{n}\right)\right) \leq \lambda+\epsilon<3^{1 / p}, \quad j \geq N+1$.
Now we may pass to a subsequence so that the following limits exist:

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{j}\left(e_{1}+e_{n_{k}}\right)=\sigma_{j}, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{j}\left(e_{n_{k}}\right)=\tau_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N .
$$

Clearly

$$
\left|\sigma_{j}-\tau_{j}\right| \leq \lambda, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N .
$$

Now

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|f_{j}\left(e_{1}+e_{n_{k}}\right)-f_{j}\left(e_{n_{k+1}}\right)\right| \leq \lambda \quad 1 \leq j \leq N
$$

and we have a contradiction since $\left\|e_{1}+e_{n_{k}}-e_{n_{k+1}}\right\|=3^{1 / p}>\lambda$.

## 6. Spaces embedding isometrically into $c_{0}$ And $c_{0}^{+}$.

In this final section we study isometric embeddings into $c_{0}$ and $c_{0}^{+}$. Note that a separable Banach space isometrically embeds into $c_{0}$ if and only if it embeds linearly and isometrically [8].

We recall that a metric space $(M, d)$ is an ultrametric space if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y) \leq \max (d(x, z), d(z, y)) \quad x, y, z \in M \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y)=\max (d(x, z), d(z, y)) \quad d(x, z) \neq d(z, y) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $(M, d)$ be a separable ultrametric space. Then there is a countable subset $\Gamma$ of $[0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, y) \in \Gamma$ for all $x, y \in M$.

Proof. For each fixed $x \in M$ let $\Gamma_{x}=\{d(x, y): y \in M\}$. Suppose $\Gamma_{x}$ is uncountable; then for some $\delta>0$ the set $\Gamma_{x} \cap(\delta, \infty)$ is uncountable. Pick an uncountable set $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $M$ so that $d\left(x, y_{i}\right)>\delta$ and the values of $d\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ are distinct for $i \in I$. Then $i \neq j$ we have $d\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)>\delta$ by (6.9). This contradicts the separability of $M$.
Thus each $\Gamma_{x}$ is countable. Let $D$ be a countable dense subset of $M$ and let $\Gamma=\cup_{x \in D} \Gamma_{x}$. If $y, z \in M$ with $y \neq z$, pick $x \in D$ with $d(x, y)<d(x, z)$. Then $d(y, z)=d(x, z) \in \Gamma$ by (6.9).

Theorem 6.2. Every separable ultrametric space embeds isometrically into $c_{0}^{+}$

Proof. Pick $\Gamma$ as in Lemma 6.1. Let $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset of an ultrametric space $M$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the collection of finite sequences $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ with $r_{j} \in \Gamma$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. For each $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{D}$ we define a function $f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}$ by

$$
f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(x)= \begin{cases}\min \left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) & \text { if } d\left(x, a_{j}\right)=r_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $x \in M$ let $d\left(x, a_{j}\right)=s_{j}$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=0$ and it follows that $f(x)=\left(f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(x)\right)_{\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{D}}$ is a map from $M$ into $c_{0}^{+}(\mathcal{D})$.
If $x, y \in M$ and $f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(x) \neq f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(y)$ we can assume without loss of generality that $d\left(x, a_{j}\right)=r_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ but that for some $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have $d\left(y, a_{k}\right) \neq r_{k}$. Then, from (6.9) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(x)-f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}}(y)\right| & =\min \left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \\
& \leq r_{k} \leq \max \left(d\left(x, a_{k}\right), d\left(y, a_{k}\right)\right)=d(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq d(x, y)$ for $x, y \in M$.
On the other hand if $x \neq y$ there is a least $k$ so that $d\left(x, a_{k}\right) \neq d\left(y, a_{k}\right)$. Assume that $d\left(x, a_{k}\right)>d\left(y, a_{k}\right)$ and $r_{j}=d\left(x, a_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $d(x, y)=r_{k}$. On the other hand $d(x, y) \leq r_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence

$$
d(x, y)=r_{k}=\left|f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}}(x)-f_{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}}(y)\right| .
$$

Thus $f$ is an isometry.
As a final example we consider an infinite branching tree $\mathcal{T}$ defined as the set of all ordered subsets (nodes) $a=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ (where $m_{1}<m_{2}<$ $\ldots<m_{k}$ ) of $\mathbb{N}$ (including the empty set). Let $|a|=k$ be the length of $a$ so that $|\emptyset|=0$. If $a=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right), b=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}\right)$ are two nodes we define $a \wedge b$ to be the node $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ where $r \leq \min (k, l)$ is the greatest integer such that $m_{j}=n_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. We write $a \prec b$ if $b \wedge a=a . \mathcal{T}$ is a graph if we define two nodes $a, b$ to be adjacent if $\| a|-|b||=1$ and $a \prec b$ or $b \prec a$.

The natural graph metric $d$ is thus given by

$$
d(a, b)=|a|+|b|-2|a \wedge b| .
$$

Theorem 6.3. The infinite branching tree embeds isometrically into $c_{0}$.
Proof. For each $(a, n) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$
f_{a, n}(b)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
|b|-|a| & a \prec b, b \neq a, b_{|a|+1}=n \\
|a|-|b| & a \prec b, b \neq a, b_{|a|+1}>n \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For fixed $b$ we have $f_{a, n}(b) \neq 0$ only when $a \prec b, a \neq b$ and $n \leq b_{|a|+1}$ and this is a finite set. Hence $f(b)=\left(f_{a, n}(b)\right)_{(a, n) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defines a map of $\mathcal{T}$ into $c_{0}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N})$.
Suppose $d\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)=1$ and that $\left|b^{\prime}\right|=|b|+1$. Then by examining cases it is clear that $\left|f_{a, n}(b)-f_{a, n}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for all $(a, n) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\left\|f(b)-f\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq d\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)$ for arbitrary $b, b^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$.
If $b \neq b^{\prime}$ pick $a=b \wedge b^{\prime}$ and assume as we may that either $b^{\prime}=a \wedge b=a$ or $b_{|a|+1}<b_{|a|+1}^{\prime}$. Put $n=b_{|a|+1}$, then

$$
f_{a, n}(b)=|b|-|a|, \quad f_{a, n}\left(b^{\prime}\right)=|a|-\left|b^{\prime}\right|
$$

so that

$$
\left|f_{a, n}(b)-f_{a, n}\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|=d\left(b, b^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence $f$ is an isometry.
Remarks. Since $c_{0} 2$-embeds into $c_{0}^{+}$, so does $\mathcal{T}$. It follows from the fact that $\mathcal{T}$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$, that it is again optimal. However, the set of nodes of the same level of a tree equipped with the geodesic distance, like $\mathcal{T}$, is a fundamental example of ultrametric space and therefore, by Theorem 6.2 , embeds isometrically into $c_{0}^{+}$.

Let us also mention that we do not know whether the metric spaces $c_{0}$ and $c_{0}^{+}$are Lipschitz isomorphic.

Extending a work of J. Bourgain [7], F. Baudier recently proved in [4] that the infinite dyadic tree equipped with the geodesic distance metrically embeds into a Banach space $X$ if and only if $X$ is not super-reflexive. Together with the second named author, F. Baudier also showed in [5] that any locally finite metric space metrically embeds into any Banach space without cotype.
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