

On contractive projections in Hardy spaces

by

FLORENCE LANCIEN (Besançon),
BEATA RANDRIANANTOANINA (Oxford, OH)
and ERIC RICARD (Besançon)

Abstract. We prove a conjecture of Wojtaszczyk that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $H_p(\mathbb{T})$ does not admit any norm one projections with dimension of the range finite and greater than 1. This implies in particular that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, H_p does not admit a Schauder basis with constant one.

1. Introduction. The study of norm one projections and their ranges (1-complemented subspaces) has been an important topic of the isometric Banach space theory since the inception of the field. Contractive projections were also investigated from the approximation theory point of view, as part of the study of minimal projections, i.e. projections onto a given subspace with the smallest possible norm (cf. [4, 10]). They are also closely related to the metric projections or nearest point mappings, and they are a natural extension of the notion of orthogonal projections from Hilbert spaces to general Banach spaces. Despite a great amount of work on contractive projections in various function spaces (cf. the survey [12]), very little is known about them in spaces of analytic functions.

To the best of our knowledge, the only results about contractively complemented subspaces of spaces of analytic functions are due to Wojtaszczyk [15], who proved that H_∞ and the disc algebra \mathcal{A} have “few” contractively complemented finite-dimensional subspaces.

THEOREM 1.1 ([15, Theorem 4.3]). (a) *If $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a norm one projection with finite-dimensional range of dimension greater than one, then $\text{Im } P^*$ is contained in the set of measures μ which are singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ .*

(b) *If $P : H_\infty \rightarrow H_\infty$ is a norm one projection with finite-dimensional range of dimension greater than one, then $\text{Im } P^*$ is contained in the space*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E15, 30D55, 46B20, 46B04.

B. Randrianantoanina was a participant in NSF Workshop in Linear Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University.

of functionals on H^∞ such that every norm preserving extension to $L_\infty(\lambda)$ is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ .

In particular it follows that the space $L_1(\lambda)/H_1^0(\lambda)$ whose dual is H_∞ does not admit any norm one projection with $1 < \dim \operatorname{Im} P < \infty$ [15, Corollary 4.4], and that \mathcal{A} does not have a monotone basis [15, Theorem 4.6].

Wojtaszczyk asked about the situation in H_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Namely, he asked ([17] for $p = 1$, [14] for $p > 1$) whether there exist any norm one projections on H_p with $1 < \dim \operatorname{Im} P < \infty$. He conjectured that the answer is no, which would imply in particular that H_p does not admit a 1-unconditional basis, extending the result of [16] that H_1 does not admit a 1-unconditional basis.

In this paper we prove that Wojtaszczyk's conjecture is correct, i.e. that indeed, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$, H_p does not admit any norm one projections with $1 < \dim \operatorname{Im} P < \infty$. In Section 4 we note that $H_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ does have norm one projections with nontrivial finite-dimensional range and we include some remarks about the form of norm one projections on H_p with infinite-dimensional range.

We note that it follows from an earlier work of Neuwirth [9] that H_p does not admit a 1-unconditional basis for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Actually, Theorem 7.5 therein shows that H_p does not have the unconditional metric approximation property, a notion weaker than the existence of a 1-unconditional basis.

Acknowledgments. The research on this paper started during a sabbatical visit of the second named author at the Département de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté in Besançon, France. She wishes to thank the Department and all members of the Functional Analysis Group for their hospitality during that visit.

We thank the referee for valuable comments.

2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a Banach space. We define the *duality map* J from X into subsets of X^* by the condition that $f \in J(x) \subset X^*$ if and only if $\|f\|_{X^*} = \|x\|_X$ and $\langle f, x \rangle = \|x\|_X^2$.

We note that if X is a strictly convex Banach space, then for all $x \in X$, the set $J(x)$ contains exactly one functional. In this case we will consider J as a map from X to X^* . If, in addition, X is reflexive and X^* is strictly convex, then $J^* : X^* \rightarrow X$, and $J^* = J^{-1}$.

Calvert [3] proved an important characterization of contractively complemented subspaces of reflexive Banach spaces in terms of the duality map J .

THEOREM 2.2 ([3]). *Let X be a strictly convex reflexive Banach space with strictly convex dual. Then a closed linear subspace Y of X is 1-complemented in X if and only if $J(Y)$ is a linear subspace of X^* .*

This theorem is one of the most important tools in the theory of norm one projections. It has been proven independently also in [1] (using different methods).

Actually, we will need only a simple version of this last result (cf. [1, Proposition 1.1]):

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X be a Banach space such that for any $x \in X$, $J(x)$ consists of exactly one point. Then, if Y is finite-dimensional and 1-complemented in X , then $J(Y)$ linearly spans a finite-dimensional subspace of X^* of dimension less than or equal to $\dim Y$.*

Proof. Let P be the contractive projection onto Y . For any $y \in Y$,

$$\|y\|^2 = \langle y, J(y) \rangle = \langle P(y), J(y) \rangle = \langle y, P^*(J(y)) \rangle.$$

But as $\|P^*(J(y))\| \leq \|J(y)\|$, using the hypothesis, we see that $P^*(J(y)) = J(y)$. So, $\text{span } J(Y)$ is contained in the range of P^* . ■

We will need basic facts about duality in H_p , which we will recall now. More details can be found in [5] or [7].

By identifying each function in H_p of the unit disk with the function defined by its boundary values on the torus, one can see H_p as a subspace of $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ in the following way:

$$H_p = H_p(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}) : \widehat{f}(-n) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{in\theta} d\theta = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ the dual of H_p is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient $L_q/H_{q,0}$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $H_{q,0} = \{f \in H_p : f(0) = 0\}$. More precisely, each functional ϕ in H_p^* can be defined by a function g in L_q as follows: $\phi(f) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$ for $f \in H_p$, and two functions g and h in L_q represent the same functional if and only if $g - h$ is in $H_{q,0}$. This very elementary fact will be used in what follows.

LEMMA 2.4. *For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and each $f \in H_p$, there exists a unique element $J(f)$ in H_p^* such that $\|J(f)\|_{H_p^*} = \|f\|_{H_p}$ and $\langle J(f), f \rangle = \|f\|_{H_p}^2$.*

Proof. Since for $1 < p < \infty$, L_p spaces are strictly convex and uniformly smooth, H_p as well as H_p^* are strictly convex. This gives the result in the reflexive case.

For $p = 1$, since f is analytic and has full support, we know that there is a unique functional $g \in L_\infty$ such that $\|g\|_{L_\infty} = \|f\|_{H_1}$ and $\langle g, f \rangle = \|f\|_{H_1}^2$. Let $h \in J(f) \subset L_\infty/H_{\infty,0}$. Then by Theorem 2 in [11], there is a lifting \tilde{h}

of h in L_∞ such that $\|\tilde{h}\| = \|h\| = \|f\|$. But then

$$\langle \tilde{h}, f \rangle = \langle h, f \rangle = \|f\|_{H_1}^2.$$

So, we must have $\tilde{h} = g$ and h is unique. ■

Consequently, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to H_p spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We point out that the map $J : H_p \rightarrow H_p^*$ is nonlinear but satisfies $J(af) = |a|J(f)$ if $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in H_p$. We note that for $f \in H_p$, the function

$$|f|^{p-2}\bar{f} = |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f},$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(re^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}$, is, up to a multiplicative constant, a representative of $J(f)$ in H_p^* .

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Then the Hardy space H_p contains no 1-complemented subspaces of finite dimension larger than one.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Then the Hardy space H_p does not have a Schauder basis with constant 1.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose for contradiction that there exists a finite-dimensional 1-complemented subspace Y in H_p with $\dim Y = d \geq 2$. There will be two different arguments depending on whether p is an even integer or not.

(a) *p is not an even integer.* Let f_1, \dots, f_d be linearly independent functions in H_p such that $Y = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$. By the preceding remarks, for each $f \in Y$, $|f|^{p-2}\bar{f} = |f|^p/f$ is a representative of an element of $J(Y)$. Thus

$$J(Y) = \left\{ \frac{|f|^p}{f} \oplus H_{q,0} : f \in Y \right\} = \left\{ \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i f_i|^p}{\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i f_i} \oplus H_{q,0} : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \dots, d \right\}.$$

Since Y is 1-complemented in H_p with $\dim Y = d \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.3, $J(Y)$ is a linear subspace of $L_q/H_{q,0}$. We define the following complex vector subspace of $L_1/H_{1,0}$:

$$Z = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{|f|^p}{f} f_i \oplus H_{1,0} : f \in Y, i = 1, \dots, d \right\}.$$

Since, by Lemma 2.3, $\dim J(Y) \leq d$, we conclude that the space Z has dimension at most d^2 as a complex vector space and at most $2d^2$ as a real vector space.

We now consider the two functions f_1 and f_2 which are linearly independent by assumption and we define W and W' as the following real vector

spans:

$$\begin{aligned} W' &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{|\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2|^p \oplus H_{1,0} : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset L_1/H_{1,0}, \\ W &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{|\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2|^p : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset L_1. \end{aligned}$$

W' is contained in Z : indeed, if $f = \sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i f_i$, then $|f|^p = \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j (|f|^p/f) f_j$ is a representative of an element in Z . So W' is finite-dimensional. In turn, this implies that W also has finite dimension. Indeed, there is a natural map $i : W \rightarrow W'$ which sends a function to its equivalence class, and it is injective. This follows from the fact that we are dealing with real functions; a function f in the kernel of i is in $H_{1,0}$, but then f is analytic and real, so f is constant with null average, which means that $f = 0$.

We next choose a subset Ω of the torus, of nonzero measure, such that there exists $a > 0$ with $a < |f_i| < 1/a$ on Ω for $i = 1, 2$, and we define

$$\widetilde{W} = \left\{ \frac{1}{|f_1|^p} h|_{\Omega} : h \in W \right\}.$$

Just as Y , Z and W , this space is of finite dimension. We are going to show that this leads to a contradiction.

For this purpose we consider the function $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ defined by $\Psi(z) = |1 + z(f_2/f_1)|_{\Omega}^p$. Write $p = 2s$. Since f_2/f_1 is bounded and bounded away from zero on Ω , we have the following power expansion for Ψ valid for $|z|$ small enough (below all expressions f_2/f_1 are considered restricted to Ω):

$$\left| 1 + z \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right|^p = \left| \left(1 + z \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^s \right|^2 = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{s}{k} \binom{s}{l} \left[\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right]^k \overline{\left[\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right]^l} z^k \bar{z}^l.$$

Write $z = re^{i\theta}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ small enough and integrate in $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. We obtain a function $\Phi : I \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$, defined in a neighbourhood of 0, such that

$$\Phi(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{s}{k}^2 \left| \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right|^{2k} r^{2k}.$$

As Φ takes its values in \widetilde{W} , all coefficients in its power expansion are also in \widetilde{W} . Since p is not an even integer, the binomial coefficient $\binom{s}{k}$ is never zero. One deduces that $|f_2/f_1|^{2k}$ belongs to \widetilde{W} for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since \widetilde{W} is a finite-dimensional real vector space, there must exist $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and real coefficients $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$, not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \left| \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right|^{2k} = 0.$$

This implies that $|f_2/f_1|$ takes values in the finite set of roots of the polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k X^{2k}$. The set Ω being of nonzero measure, we conclude

that there exists a subset Ω_0 of Ω and a constant C_0 with $\mu(\Omega_0) > 0$ and $|f_2/f_1| = C_0$ on Ω_0 .

If we take $(f_1, f_1 + f_2)$ instead of (f_1, f_2) , we obtain similarly the existence of a subset Ω_1 of Ω_0 and a constant C_1 with $\mu(\Omega_1) > 0$ and $|1 + f_2/f_1| = C_1$ on Ω_1 . Finally, on Ω_1 we have $|f_2/f_1| = C_0$ and $|1 + f_2/f_1| = C_1$. Since on \mathbb{C} the equations $|z| = c_0$ and $|1 + z| = c_1$ define two circles, this shows that on Ω_1 , f_1/f_2 takes its values in their intersection which consists of at most two points. By analyticity this implies that $f_1 = \lambda f_2$ on Ω_1 , since $\mu(\Omega_1) \neq 0$. Therefore it follows that f_1 and f_2 are linearly dependent, which contradicts the definition of f_1 and f_2 .

REMARK 3.3. The referee has pointed out to us that, alternatively, one can use the Rudin–Plotkin Equimeasurability Theorem [13, 8] to show that finite-dimensionality of W implies that f_1/f_2 assumes only a finite number of values. A sketch of an argument is as follows:

If $\dim W < \infty$, then also $\dim V < \infty$, where $V = \text{span}\{|1 + z(f_1/f_2)|_{\Omega}|^p : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Then we consider the space V in L_1 with respect to the measure $|f_1|^p dt$. Take any $h \in L_{\infty} = (L_1)^*$ which annihilates V and write $h = h_+ - h_-$ (decomposition into the positive and negative part). We get

$$\int |1 + z f_1/f_2|^p (h_+ |f_1|^p) dt = \int |1 + z f_1/f_2|^p (h_- |f_1|^p) dt$$

for all complex z . From the Equimeasurability Theorem we deduce that f_1/f_2 is equimeasurable with respect to both densities in parentheses. This implies that we have $\int \Psi(f_1/f_2) h |f_1|^p dt = 0$ for any Borel bounded function Ψ on \mathbb{C} . Since this holds for any h which annihilates V we infer that $\Psi(f_1/f_2) \in V$ for each Ψ . But all those compositions can be finite-dimensional only if f_1/f_2 assumes only a finite number of values.

(b) p is an even integer. We denote by P a contractive projection on H_p such that Y is the range of P . For fixed $h \in Y$ and $\phi \in H_p$, we have for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\|h + z\phi\|_p^p \geq \|h + zP(\phi)\|_p^p.$$

The function Ψ defined by $\Psi(z) = \|h + z\phi\|_p^p - \|h + zP(\phi)\|_p^p$ is a polynomial in z and \bar{z} which satisfies $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $\Psi(z) \geq 0$ for all z , thus $\Psi'(0) = 0$. This yields, after restricting Ψ to real z only, and differentiating with respect to z ,

$$\text{Re} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h|^{p-2} \bar{h} (\phi - P(\phi)) \right] = 0.$$

Since this holds for any h in Y , by multiplying h by complex constants of modulus one we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} |h|^{p-2} \bar{h} (\phi - P(\phi)) = 0, \quad \forall h \in Y, \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

We now pick two functions f and g in Y and define Θ by

$$\Theta(z, z') = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |z'f + zg|^{p-2} \overline{(z'f + zg)} (\phi - P(\phi)).$$

By (3.1) we have $\Theta(z', z) = 0$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, since p is an even integer, Θ is a polynomial in z and z' . If we expand this polynomial, the coefficient of $\bar{z}|z'|^{p-2}$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^{p-2} \bar{g} (\phi - P(\phi)) = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

Consequently, for any $f \in Y$,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^{p-2} \bar{h} (\phi - P(\phi)) = 0, \quad \forall h \in Y, \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

We now introduce the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ defined on H_p by

$$\langle h, k \rangle_f = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^{p-2} \bar{h} k.$$

It is a scalar product since f has full support as it is analytic. We denote by H_f the space H_p equipped with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ and by I_f the canonical injection from H_p to H_f . With these new notations, (3.2) can be written

$$\langle h, \phi - P(\phi) \rangle_f = 0, \quad \forall h \in Y, \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

In particular for $h = P(\phi)$ we obtain

$$\langle P(\phi), \phi - P(\phi) \rangle_f = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

Thus

$$\|P(\phi)\|_f^2 = \langle \phi, P(\phi) \rangle_f \leq \|\phi\|_f \|P(\phi)\|_f, \quad \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

This means that P_f defined by $P(\phi) = P_f(\phi)$ on H_p is an orthogonal projection on H_f which coincides with P .

From now on, we consider two linearly independent functions f and g in Y . We have another scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$, a space H_g and an orthogonal projection P_g on H_g . We next consider a basis h_1, \dots, h_d of Y which is orthogonal simultaneously for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$; this is possible because Y is assumed to be finite-dimensional. Indeed, if $(e_i)_{i=1}^d$ is a $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ -orthonormal basis, and A is the matrix of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ in that basis, then A is self-adjoint and positive, and thus there exists a $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_f$ -orthonormal basis which diagonalizes A , and thus is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ -orthogonal. Then, as P_f and P_g are orthogonal projections,

$$P_f = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\langle \cdot, h_i \rangle_f h_i}{\|h_i\|_f}, \quad P_g = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\langle \cdot, h_i \rangle_g h_i}{\|h_i\|_g}.$$

Since for all $\phi \in H_p$ we have $P_f(\phi) = P_g(\phi) = P(\phi)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\langle \phi, h_1 \rangle_f h_1}{\|h_1\|_f} = \frac{\langle \phi, h_1 \rangle_g h_1}{\|h_1\|_g}, \quad \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

If we set $\alpha = 1/\|h_1\|_f$ and $\beta = 1/\|h_1\|_g$, this yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} (\alpha|f|^{p-2} - \beta|g|^{p-2}) \bar{h}_1 \phi = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in H_p.$$

This means that $(\alpha|f|^{p-2} - \beta|g|^{p-2}) \bar{h}_1 = 0$ in H_p^* , thus there exists $h \in H_{q,0}$ so that

$$(\alpha|f|^{p-2} - \beta|g|^{p-2}) |h_1|^2 = h h_1.$$

Again the fact that the left hand side is real and the analyticity of the right hand side imply that they are both zero.

Finally, we obtain $|f|^{p-2} = \lambda|g|^{p-2}$ for some positive constant λ . This reasoning holds for any two functions f and g linearly independent in Y . If we take $f+g$ and g instead of f and g , we find that $|f+g|^{p-2} = \nu|g|^{p-2}$ for some positive constant ν . But we have already explained that this cannot happen unless f and g are linearly dependent, which contradicts our hypothesis. ■

4. Comments. The above result is purely isometric. By that we mean that for any $d > 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are d -dimensional subspaces of H_p which are $1 + \varepsilon$ -complemented. This is an easy consequence of the Szegő theorem as it provides functions in H_p which have almost disjoint supports.

One can also wonder if Theorem 3.1 has extensions to domains other than the torus \mathbb{T} . As soon as there are more variables, the situation becomes quite different:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any $d > 1$, there are 1 -complemented d -dimensional subspaces in $H_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. This is just a transference argument. Let $d > 1$ be fixed and for each function $f(z_1, z_2)$ on \mathbb{T}^2 , define

$$T(f)(z_1, z_2) = \int_T f(z z_1, z z_2) \bar{z}^{d+1} dz.$$

It is not hard to see that T , for any p , is a contractive projection from L_p onto the subspace spanned by the functions $z_1^k z_2^l$ with $k + l = d - 1$. ■

The same kind of argument carries over to $H_p(S_n)$ where S_n is the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , for any $n \geq 1$. As an easy consequence, $H_p(\mathbb{T})$ and $H_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ are not isometric.

We will now describe some infinite-dimensional 1-complemented subspaces of H_p . For Λ a subset of \mathbb{N} , we define

$$H_{p,\Lambda} = \{f \in H_p(\mathbb{T}) : \widehat{f}(n) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Lambda\}.$$

It is well known that if Λ is a coset in \mathbb{N} then $H_{p,\Lambda}$ is 1-complemented in H_p ; in that case the projection $P : H_p \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} H_{p,\Lambda}$ is the Fourier multiplier defined by the characteristic function of Λ .

More generally, for ψ an inner function with $\psi(0) = 0$, one can consider H_p^ψ , the closure in H_p of the algebra generated by ψ . J. A. Ball [2] proved that there exists an expectation operator P_ψ which is a norm one projection from H_p onto H_p^ψ .

From this result we can deduce generalizations of the spaces H_Λ above. For ψ an inner function with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\Lambda = \{qk + r : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$, we can consider $H_{p,\Lambda}^\psi$, the closure in H_p of the set $\{\psi^n : n \in \Lambda\}$. It is easy to see that $H_{p,\Lambda}^\psi$ is a 1-complemented subspace of H_p via the projection defined for $f \in H_p$ by $P_{\psi,\Lambda}(f) = \psi^{r-q} P_{\psi^q}(f\psi^{q-r})$ if $r \neq 0$ and $P_{\psi,\Lambda}(f) = P_{\psi^q}(f)$ if $r = 0$. (Note that $H_{p,\Lambda}$ corresponds to the case $\psi(z) = z$.)

Moreover, it is clear that if X is any Banach space, T is an isometry from X onto X , and $P : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$ is a norm one projection, then $Q = TPT^{-1} : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} T(Y)$ is also a norm one projection, and thus $T(Y)$ is 1-complemented in X . Isometries of H_p have been described by Forelli [6] who proved the following.

THEOREM 4.2 ([6, Theorem 2]). *Suppose that $p \neq 2$ and T is a linear isometry of H_p onto H_p . Then*

$$(4.1) \quad Tf = b \left(\frac{d\phi}{dz} \right)^{1/p} f(\phi),$$

where ϕ is a conformal map of the unit disk onto itself and b is a unimodular complex number. Conversely, (4.1) defines a linear isometry of H_p onto H_p .

If we denote by T_ϕ an isometry of this form we obtain new norm one projections by composition with the preceding projections $P_{\psi,\Lambda}$:

$$Q = T_\phi P_{\psi,\Lambda} T_\phi^{-1} : H_p \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} T_\phi(H_{p,\Lambda}^\psi)$$

is also a contractive projection, and thus $T_\phi(H_{p,\Lambda}^\psi)$ is 1-complemented in H_p .

We do not know whether every 1-complemented subspace of H_p has to be isometric to $H_{p,\Lambda}^\psi$ for some coset Λ in \mathbb{N} and some inner function ψ vanishing at the origin.

References

- [1] J. Arazy and Y. Friedman, *Contractive projections in C_p* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 459 (1992).
- [2] J. A. Ball, *Hardy space expectation operators and reducing subspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 351–357.
- [3] B. Calvert, *Convergence sets in reflexive Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 423–428.
- [4] E. W. Cheney and K. H. Price, *Minimal projections*, in: Approximation Theory (Lancaster, 1969), Academic Press, London, 1970, 261–289.
- [5] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Pure Appl. Math. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] F. Forelli, *The isometries of H^p* , Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 721–728.
- [7] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962. (Reprinted by Dover Publ. in 1988.)
- [8] A. Koldobsky and H. König, *Aspects of the isometric theory of Banach spaces*, in: Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 899–939.
- [9] S. Neuwirth, *Metric unconditionality and Fourier analysis*, Studia Math. 131 (1998), 19–62.
- [10] W. Odyniec [V. P. Odinec] and G. Lewicki, *Minimal Projections in Banach Spaces, Problems of Existence and Uniqueness and Their Application*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1449, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [11] L. B. Page, *Bounded and compact vectorial Hankel operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (1970), 529–539.
- [12] B. Randrianantoanina, *Norm one projections in Banach spaces*, Taiwan. J. Math. 5 (2001), 35–95.
- [13] W. Rudin, *L^p -isometries and equimeasurability*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), 215–228.
- [14] P. Wojtaszczyk, private communication.
- [15] —, *On projections in spaces of bounded analytic functions with applications*, Studia Math. 65 (1979), 147–173.
- [16] —, *The Franklin system is an unconditional basis in H_1* , Ark. Mat. 20 (1982), 293–300.
- [17] —, *Spaces of analytic functions with integral norm*, in: Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, 1671–1702.

Département de Mathématiques
 Université de Franche-Comté
 16 Route de Gray
 25030 Besançon, France
 E-mail: Florence.Lancien@math.univ-fcomte.fr
 Eric.Ricard@math.univ-fcomte.fr

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Miami University
 Oxford, OH 45056, U.S.A.
 E-mail: randrib@muohio.edu

Received January 11, 2005
Revised version April 14, 2005

(5564)